

MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL MEETING
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS
SAANICH MUNICIPAL HALL, 770 VERNON AVENUE
MONDAY, OCTOBER 6, 2008 AT 7:30 P.M.

Present: **Chair:** Mayor Leonard
Council: Councillors Brice, Brownoff, Derman, Gillespie, Hunter, Ngai, Sanders, and Wergeland
Staff: Tim Wood, Administrator; Russ Fuoco, Director of Planning; Colin Doyle, Director of Engineering; Carrie MacPhee, Manager of Legislative Services; and Shirley Leggett, Senior Committee Clerk

Minutes **ADOPTION OF MINUTES**

MOVED by Councillor Wergeland and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: "That the Minutes of the Council and Committee of the Whole Meetings held September 15, 2008, the Special Council Meetings held September 9, 2008 and September 16, 2008, and the Special Committee of the Whole Meeting held September 16, 2008, be adopted."

CARRIED

BYLAWS

No. 243
Quadra Street
Bylaw 8958

4085 QUADRA STREET

First Reading of the "Zoning Bylaw, 2003, Amendment Bylaw, 2008, No. 8958". Rezoning from C-1 to C-1NC.

MOVED by Councillor Ngai and Seconded by Councillor Sanders: "That Bylaw No. 8958 be introduced and read."

CARRIED

No. 244
PLD60
Bylaw 8959

OFFICIAL COMMUNITY PLAN BYLAW AMENDMENT – PROPOSED ACCESS TO TRANSIT GUIDELINES.

First Reading of the "Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2008, Amendment Bylaw, 2008, No. 8959". To incorporate Access To Transit Development Guidelines into Appendix "N" – Development Permit Areas, Justification and Guidelines.

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Brice: "That Bylaw No. 8959 be introduced and read."

CARRIED

RESOLUTIONS FOR ADOPTION

No. 245
ADM115 - CRD
CRD Bylaw 3558

CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT TRAFFIC SAFETY COMMISSION ESTABLISHMENT BYLAW AMENDMENT – ADDITION OF NORTH SAANICH AS A PARTICIPANT

Letter from the Capital Regional District dated September 19, 2008 requesting Council give consent to the CRD adopting Bylaw No. 3558, "Traffic Safety Commission Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 1990, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2008".

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Wergeland: "That Council give consent to the CRD adopting Bylaw No. 3558, "Traffic Safety Commission Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 1990, Amendment Bylaw No. 2, 2008".

CARRIED

No. 246
PKA35
Haro Woods
Property

MUNICIPAL HARO WOODS PROPERTY

Report from Mayor Leonard dated September 26, 2008 recommending Council direct the Solicitor to register the covenant as outlined on the Municipality's Haro Woods property to require that discussions on the future of the land be held in a public meeting.

MOVED by Councillor Ngai and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: "That Council direct the Municipal Solicitor to register the covenant as outlined in the September 26, 2008 report from Mayor Leonard, on the Municipality's Haro Woods property to require that discussions on the future of the land be held in a public meeting."

Councillor Gillespie stated:

- He does not understand why this land has not already been designated as wild woodland or a natural park.
- He is not comfortable designing an action plan or having a bylaw saying that eventually we can make decisions with regard to the subject land.

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- She agrees that there has been some kind of understanding that Haro Woods would become a park; however, Council passed policies within the Local Area Plan with regard to an action plan pertaining to other lands within the subject area.
- She is comfortable with the proposed covenant; it will give the public some assurance that any decisions will be made openly and that they will have an opportunity to provide input.

Councillor Derman stated:

- He supports the proposed covenant; the public needs to be involved in these kinds of processes.
- There has been past debate on whether or not to designate the subject area as a potential site for a sewage treatment facility; we appear to be moving towards a more distributed system involving resource recovery and involving smaller sewage plants over a period of time so there is likely no immediate threat to Haro Woods at this time.

Councillor Brice stated:

- She supports the proposed covenant and acknowledges the Mayor's leadership with regard to Haro Woods.
- The covenant should put to rest any anxiety that could exist in the community with regard to the subject land.

Councillor Sanders stated:

- She appreciates that the Mayor brought forward the proposed strategy with regard to Haro Woods; it shows that we are proactive and sends a message to the community that we take their concerns seriously.

Councillor Wergeland stated:

- He supports the proposed covenant.
- He does not believe there have been any decisions made with regard to any sewage treatment facilities in the subject area.

Mayor Hunter stated:

- He appreciates the Mayor’s leadership with regard to this issue and supports the proposed covenant.

Mayor Leonard stated:

- He thanks Council for their comments and is confident that we will respect the planning staff’s integrity in preparing the action plan.
- He has asked the Cadboro Bay Residents’ Association to look beyond the action plan and think about the stewardship for an eventual park; the Association has indicated they are going to contact the Director of Parks and Recreation and the Manager of Parks with regard to other successful stewardship models in the Municipality.

**The Motion was then PUT and CARRIED,
with Councillor Gillespie voting against.**

No. 247
ADM60 – Library
Library Operating
Agreement

LIBRARY OPERATING AGREEMENT 2009 – 2013

Report of the Administrator dated October 6, 2008 recommending that Council approve the 2009 – 2013 Library Operating Agreement.

**MOVED by Councillor Hunter and Seconded by Councillor Gillespie:
“That Council approve the 2009 – 2013 Greater Victoria Public
Library Operating Agreement.”**

CARRIED

RECOMMENDATIONS FROM COMMITTEES

No. 248
RSF85
Regional Annual
Pass – Pilot Project

**GREATER VICTORIA INTER-MUNICIPAL PARKS AND RECREATION
COMMITTEE**

Report of the Director of Parks and Recreation dated September 25, 2008 advising of a new program proposed by the Greater Victoria Inter-Municipal Parks and Recreation Committee for a Greater Victoria Regional Pass and recommending that Council approve Saanich’s participation in the pilot project.

**MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Brice:
“That Council approve Saanich’s participation in the Greater Victoria
Regional Pass pilot project.”**

In response to questions from Council, the Director of Parks and Recreation stated:

- The cost of the Regional Annual Pass will be \$420.10 which is a play on words to coincide with the 2010 Olympics; it is considered as a value added pass to the regular pass which sells for \$380; access to all facilities will be provided for the additional \$40.10 however there is no variation to that pass at a lower cost.
- The Regional Annual Pass can be purchased in Saanich but it can be used to access any recreational facility in Greater Victoria; the passes will have a hologram sticker on them that can be used at the point of redemption for tracking purposes and to determine the origin of the pass.
- At the end of the evaluation period they will see if there is equity between where the pass was purchased and where it was redeemed;

if it is determined that people are purchasing passes in one community and largely redeeming them in another community and that there is no equity then we will not continue with the program.

Councillor Hunter stated:

- He thanks our recreation staff for being active members on the Greater Victoria Inter-Municipal Parks and Recreation Committee which includes recreation staff throughout the region, and for collaborating on this new initiative that will help get people involved and participate in staying fit and healthy.

Councillor Derman stated:

- He feels that the proposed pilot program has potential; while people primarily go to recreation facilities for fitness purposes there is also a social aspect; this new program facilitates that by allowing people to visit facilities outside of their own community.
- He feels it would be a good idea to have some information in our promotional materials that lets our citizens know what is available at other recreation centres.

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- Although she sees the benefits of a regional pass she would have liked to see this program capture a regional pass for people living within the poverty level.
- She will not support the proposed pilot project but she looks forward to seeing if it is successful.

CARRIED,

with Councillor Brownoff voting against.

Adjournment

On a motion from Councillor Gillespie, the meeting adjourned at 7:56 p.m.

The Meeting reconvened at 8:56 p.m.

Recommendations

RECOMMENDATIONS

From the Committee of the Whole Meeting held September 15, 2008

No. 249
Richmond Road
Development
Permit

2975/2977 RICHMOND ROAD – DEVELOPMENT PERMIT – VICTORIA DESIGN LTD.

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: “That Council approve and issue Development Permit No. DPR2008-00001 on Lot 6, Block 2, Section 27, Victoria District, Plan 1107 (2975 and 2977 Richmond Road).”

CARRIED

No. 250
Seaton Street
Development
Variance Permit

3257 SEATON STREET – DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT – J.E. ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Gillespie: “That Council approve and issue Development Variance Permit No. DVP2008-00009 on Lot A (DD235421-I), Block 1, Section 81, Victoria District, Plan 861(3257 Seaton Street).”

CARRIED

No. 251
Wesley Road
Subdivision and
Development
Variance Permit

5055, 5059 AND 5065 WESLEY ROAD – SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT – BRUCE HAGEDORN

MOVED by Councillor Wergeland and Seconded by Councillor Gillespie: “That Council approve an exemption for proposed Lot 3 from the 10 percent road frontage requirement of the *Local Government Act* and approve and issue Development Variance Permit No. DVP2008-00005 on Lots 7, 8, and 9, Section 30, Lake District, Plan 7431 (5055, 5059 and 5063 Wesley Drive).”

CARRIED,

with Councillors Brice and Derman voting against.

No. 252
Earlston Avenue
Remedial Action
Order

1590 AND 1596 EARLSTON AVENUE – REMEDIAL ACTION ORDER

MOVED by Councillor Brice and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: “That Council pass the following resolution imposing remedial action requirements:

- 1. Council hereby declares the vehicle parts, disused metal pool, the old wooden platforms, the non-permitted accessory structure (or portable shelter) and the accumulation of refuse, used motor oil containers and paint tins, old propane bottles, old lumber, left-over roof materials, carpet underlay, used furniture pieces, scrap and disused items, tarps and cement culverts, etc. stored outside on the two parcels of land described as Lots 9 and 10, Block 2, Section 40, Victoria District, Plan 402F, having street addresses of 1590 and 1596 Earlston Avenue, in the Municipality of Saanich (herein called “the lands”) to be a nuisance pursuant to Sections 72 and 74(1)(d) of the *Community Charter 2003* SBC.c.26;**
- 2. The Council hereby directs D.S. Bains Holdings (herein called “the owner of the lands”) to undertake the following actions within sixty (60) days from the date on which notice of this order is served upon the owner of the lands:**
 - a) Remove from the lands any refuse, junk, scrap wood and metal, old metal equipment, tarps, used construction materials and disused or unsightly items that are outside, including any such items under tarps. Sort any remaining items and materials into neat piles or stacks, relocating to areas of the property that are out of sight, as much as possible, from the view of adjacent neighbours.**

b) Remove from the lands any unenclosed vehicle parts. Relocate the one permitted unlicensed truck, in the rear of the occupied lot, so it is parked perpendicular to the rear fence and less visible to adjacent neighbours.

c) Dismantle and remove from the lands the disused metal pool, the old wooden platforms and the non-permitted accessory structure (or portable shelter), including the contents of this structure.

3. If the owner of the lands fails to comply with the requirements of Section 2, the Municipality may through its employees, agents, or contractors, enter upon the lands and carry out the work described in Section 2 at the expense of the owner pursuant to Section 17 of the *Community Charter*.”

CARRIED,

with Councillor Gillespie voting against.

In Camera Motion

MOVED by Councillor and Seconded by Councillor: “That the following meeting be closed to the public as the subject matter pertains to the acquisition of land and/or improvements and to an administrative hearing affecting the District.”

CARRIED

Adjournment

On a motion from Councillor Gillespie, the meeting adjourned at 8:57 p.m.

.....
MAYOR

I hereby certify these Minutes are accurate.

.....
MUNICIPAL CLERK

MINUTES OF THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS
SAANICH MUNICIPAL HALL, 770 VERNON AVENUE
MONDAY, OCTOBER 6, 2008 AT 7:57 P.M.

Present: **Chair:** Councillor Hunter
Council: Mayor Leonard and Councillors Brice, Brownoff, Derman, Gillespie, Ngai, Sanders, and Wergeland
Staff: Tim Wood, Administrator; Russ Fuoco, Director of Planning; Colin Doyle, Director of Engineering; Carrie MacPhee, Manager of Legislative Services; and Shirley Leggett, Senior Committee Clerk.

Richmond Road
Development Permit

2975/2977 RICHMOND ROAD – DEVELOPMENT PERMIT – VICTORIA DESIGN LTD.

Report of the Director of Planning dated August 16, 2008 recommending approval of Development Permit DPR2008-00001.

Mr. W. Peereboom, Victoria Design Limited, applicant, stated:

- The proposal is to add a second storey to an existing two-family dwelling; variances are requested for the rear yard setback for the second storey addition and the north side yard setback; the footprint of the existing dwelling will not be changed.
- The additional storey will result in an updated appearance for the dwelling but still be compatible with other houses in the neighbourhood.
- The landscaping will be updated; the rear garden will be increased in size and a new patio and permeable pavers will be added; four new trees will be planted on site and there will be improved stormwater management.
- The site can be accessed from both Richmond Road and from Forrester Street via a driveway easement over the adjacent property which is owned by a relative; if for some reason the easement is eliminated there is still direct access from Richmond Road.

MOTION: MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Wergeland: “That it be recommended that Council approve and issue Development Permit No. DPR2008-00001 on Lot 6, Block 2, Section 27, Victoria District, Plan 1107 (2975 and 2977 Richmond Road).”

Councillor Derman stated:

- The proposal is quite modest in size; the adjacent dwelling on Cochrane Street is set well back from the adjoining property line so there should not be any impacts.
- The subject location is an ideal area for increased density as it is close to transit and other amenities.

The Motion was then PUT and CARRIED

Seaton Street
Development
Variance Permit

3257 SEATON STREET – DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT – J.E. ANDERSON & ASSOCIATES

Report of the Director of Planning dated September 15, 2008 recommending approval of Development Variance Permit DVP2008-00009.

Mr. D. Carrier, J. E. Anderson & Associates, applicant, stated:

- The family wishes to reinstate the original two lot configuration which was originally created on a 1907 era subdivision plan; each of the proposed two lots will be the same size at 599 square metres.

In response to questions from Council, Mr. Carrier stated:

- The existing Chestnut tree will be retained; the Nootka cypress trees that will be removed will be replaced with other trees with as large a caliper as possible.
- The existing dwelling will be deconstructed.

Mr. K. Whitcroft, 1044 Inverness Road, stated:

- The subject site is in an appropriate area for infill housing and is close to transit and amenities.

MOTION:

MOVED by Councillor Brice and Seconded by Councillor Gillespie: “That it be recommended that Council approve and issue Development Variance Permit No. DVP2008-00009 on Lot A (DD235421-I), Block 1, Section 81, Victoria District, Plan 861(3257 Seaton Street).”

CARRIED

Wesley Road
Subdivision and
Development
Variance Permit

5055, 5059 AND 5065 WESLEY ROAD – SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT – BRUCE HAGEDORN

Report of the Director of Planning dated September 8, 2008 recommending Development Variance Permit DVP2008-00005 be approved, and that proposed Lot 3 be exempted from the statutory requirement to provide a minimum 10 percent perimeter road frontage under Section 944(2) of the *Local Government Act*.

Mr. B. Hagedorn, applicant, 5059 Wesley Road, stated:

- One of the concerns expressed by the neighbourhood pertains to the location of the panhandle access strip to proposed Lot 3; as a result of discussions with staff, the panhandle access has been moved from over the easterly portion of the existing sewer right-of-way to approximately 40 percent away from the corner of Catalina Terrace; this should address traffic and noise concerns.
- The existing hedgerow along the property line of Lot 3 will be retained which will help address privacy concerns.

Mr. W. Peereboom, Victoria Design Limited, stated:

- His client who resides at 5056 Catalina Terrace which is directly east of the proposed subdivision, initially had some concerns with the original location of the panhandle access to proposed Lot 3.
- His client thanks the developer for relocating the panhandle access and for agreeing to retain the hedgerow and supports the proposal.

Mr. K. Whitcroft, 1044 Inverness Road, stated:

- In order to be sustainable we have to reduce greenhouse gas emissions; the proposed development is not close to transit so people living in the new subdivision will have to use their vehicles to access shopping and other amenities.

Mr. Hagedorn stated:

- In terms of sustainability they are taking advantage of already existing land and building within a narrower envelope closer to the downtown centre which they feel is beneficial.

MOTION:

MOVED by Mayor Leonard and Seconded by Councillor Wergeland: "That it be recommended that Council approve an exemption for proposed Lot 3 from the 10 percent road frontage requirement of the *Local Government Act* and approve and issue Development Variance Permit No. DVP2008-00005 on Lots 7, 8, and 9, Section 30, Lake District, Plan 7431 (5055, 5059 and 5063 Wesley Drive)."

Councillor Derman stated:

- He agrees that the proposed development will likely be automobile oriented as the area is not well served by transit.
- He feels that the proposed subdivision is awkward; it is a difficult piece of land and the proposed panhandle access strip is very long.
- He does not feel that any privacy concerns are centered around proposed Lot 3 as it is significantly lower than proposed Lot 2 and there are some trees; because the grade of Lot 2 is higher and the driveway is on the west side, any new house constructed on that lot could overlook the adjacent property and impact privacy.

Councillor Wergeland stated:

- He feels that the proposed infill development is relatively close to shopping, transit, schools and other facilities.
- He does not feel that panhandle lots are attractive; it would be a good idea to review the issue of heights and setbacks for panhandle lots, and to consider whether or not we want to keep approving them for the municipality or if there is another form of housing that would accomplish infill development.

Councillor Brice stated:

- While the issue of the location of the proposed panhandle access has been addressed, she is still not persuaded that the proposed subdivision is necessarily desirable from a larger community point of view.
- She will not support the proposal.

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- She has concerns with the length of the proposed panhandle access.

Mayor Leonard stated:

- Because the subject property is in the RS-12A Zone, dwelling heights are limited to a maximum of 5.0 metres; between the topography and the limitation on height, it provides some comfort that the proposed dwellings will not be imposing into the surrounding area.
- He agrees that it would be beneficial to review our policies pertaining to heights and setbacks for panhandle lots.

**The Motion was then PUT and CARRIED,
with Councillors Brice and Derman voting against.**

Earlston Avenue
Remedial Action
Order

1590 AND 1596 EARLSTON AVENUE – REMEDIAL ACTION ORDER

Report of the Manager of Inspection Services dated September 30, 2008 recommending Council pass a resolution imposing remedial action requirements pursuant to Sections 72 and 74 of the *Community Charter*.

In response to questions from Council, the Bylaw Enforcement Officer stated:

- Bylaw Enforcement staff have been dealing with the subject properties since 2001 with respect to garbage and noxious weed violations; most recently there are three complainants on record.
- A number of the licensed vehicles that are parked on the street in front of 1596 Earlston belong to the tenant; under the Streets and Traffic Bylaw a vehicle is in violation if it is parked on the street for more than 72 hours at a time, however, they have not received any complaints pertaining to these particular vehicles.

Mr. S. Bains, son of the owner of the subject properties, 7478 East Saanich Road, stated:

- In December 2007 they received a letter from Bylaw Enforcement with regard to unsightly premises; between December and May 2008 some clean up on the property was undertaken.
- The letter also stated that all of the fences were in disrepair; there is only one fence on the two properties and it was constructed by the developer of the apartment building on Mortimer Street.
- On September 4, 2008 the Bylaw Enforcement Officer did another site visit and issued a Municipal Ticket Implementation (M.T.I.) for violation of unsightly premises; there had been no contact from the Bylaw Enforcement Officer since the clean up was done so they were surprised to get a ticket.
- If a landlord or property owner is ultimately going to be held accountable then explaining the bylaw enforcement process to the tenant is unacceptable which is what has happened in this case.
- Staff told the tenant that fire wood can be covered with a tarp which is what he did, however, apparently the property is still in violation and they do not understand why.
- They have not ignored the M.T.I.; a lot of the clean up work has been undertaken; however, they think it would have been reasonable for Bylaw Enforcement staff to meet with the tenant and the property owner together so that there would be no misunderstandings.

In response to questions from Council, Mr. Bains stated:

- The tenant has been living in the house at 1596 Earlston Avenue for the past 35 years; the material that is stored on both of the properties belongs to the tenant and he will not let them remove any of it.
- The tenant is responsible for cutting the grass.

Mr. G. Buick, 1596 Earlston Avenue, stated:

- He has been living in his present location for a number of years and admits that he is messy.
- He was told by Saanich staff that he could cover the wood piles with tarps which is what he did until he could move it so he would not get another M.T.I.
- He is getting rid of the vehicles that are parked on the street in front of the house; the tarp shed will be removed as well as the old swimming pool, and he is going to get a building permit to construct a deck.
- He has honorable intentions with regard to cleaning up the property; however, he feels that he has been harassed by Bylaw Enforcement staff.

In response to questions from Council, the Administrator stated:

- Putting a tarp on your property is not a violation however; a series of tarps covering rubbish and debris is an unsightly situation and an infraction of the Bylaw which is the case with the subject property.
- Staff gives people ample opportunity to comply with the Bylaw and for the most part they do; staff also work with both tenants and landlords to resolve the issue although M.T.I. tickets are issued to the landlord.
- With a Remedial Action Order in place it will give the owner and tenant 60 days to comply and staff will meet with them on site to try to resolve the issue so that there is no need for municipal forces to go on site and clean the property at the owner's expense.

MOTION:

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Sanders: "That it be recommended that Council pass the following resolution imposing remedial action requirements:

- 1. Council hereby declares the vehicle parts, disused metal pool, the old wooden platforms, the non-permitted accessory structure (or portable shelter) and the accumulation of refuse, used motor oil containers and paint tins, old propane bottles, old lumber, left-over roof materials, carpet underlay, used furniture pieces, scrap and disused items, tarps and cement culverts, etc. stored outside on the two parcels of land described as Lots 9 and 10, Block 2, Section 40, Victoria District, Plan 402F, having street addresses of 1590 and 1596 Earlston Avenue, in the Municipality of Saanich (herein called "the lands") to be a nuisance pursuant to Sections 72 and 74(1)(d) of the *Community Charter* 2003 SBC.c.26;**
- 2. The Council hereby directs D.S. Bains Holdings (herein called**

“the owner of the lands”) to undertake the following actions within sixty (60) days from the date on which notice of this order is served upon the owner of the lands:

- a) Remove from the lands any refuse, junk, scrap wood and metal, old metal equipment, tarps, used construction materials and disused or unsightly items that are outside, including any such items under tarps. Sort any remaining items and materials into neat piles or stacks, relocating to areas of the property that are out of sight, as much as possible, from the view of adjacent neighbours.
 - b) Remove from the lands any unenclosed vehicle parts. Relocate the one permitted unlicensed truck, in the rear of the occupied lot, so it is parked perpendicular to the rear fence and less visible to adjacent neighbours.
 - c) Dismantle and remove from the lands the disused metal pool, the old wooden platforms and the non-permitted accessory structure (or portable shelter), including the contents of this structure.
3. If the owner of the lands fails to comply with the requirements of Section 2, the Municipality may through its employees, agents, or contractors, enter upon the lands and carry out the work described in Section 2 at the expense of the owner pursuant to Section 17 of the *Community Charter*.”

Councillor Derman stated:

- There is a long history of non-compliance with the subject properties; the number of tarps being used to cover piles of debris is unsightly.
- The owner and tenant have been given numerous opportunities to address the issue without it coming before Council; the Remedial Action Order gives them ample time to comply.

Councillor Gillespie stated:

- The owner and tenant want to work with Bylaw Enforcement staff to resolve the issue and he thinks it is appropriate to grant them a reasonable amount of time to do so.

The Motion was then PUT and CARRIED, with Councillor Gillespie voting against.

ADM40 – Treasury
2009 Permissive
Tax Exemptions

PERMISSIVE TAX EXEMPTIONS FOR THE YEAR 2009.

Report of the Director of Finance dated September 22, 2008 recommending Council consider the 2009 property tax exemptions for existing organizations and one new application.

MOTION:

MOVED by Councillor Brownoff and Seconded by Councillor Brice:
“That the existing organizations and one new application from the Independent Living Housing Society of Greater Victoria for properties at 910 Easter Road, 1015 Falmouth Road, 1765 Feltham Road, 1610 Hawthorne Street, and 238 Obed Avenue as outlined in the September 22, 2008 report of the Director of Finance, be granted a tax exemption for 2009.”

CARRIED

Adjournment

On a motion from Councillor Brice, the meeting adjourned at 8:55 p.m.

.....
CHAIR

I hereby certify these Minutes are accurate.

.....
MUNICIPAL CLERK