

MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL MEETING
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS
SAANICH MUNICIPAL HALL, 770 VERNON AVENUE
MONDAY, AUGUST 11, 2008 AT 7:30 P.M.

Present: **Chair:** Mayor Leonard
Council: Councillors Brice, Brownoff, Derman, Gillespie, Hunter, Ngai, Sanders, and Wergeland
Staff: Tim Wood, Administrator; Russ Fuoco, Director of Planning; Colin Doyle, Director of Engineering; Carrie MacPhee, Manager of Legislative Services; and Shirley Leggett, Senior Committee Clerk

Minutes **ADOPTION OF MINUTES**

MOVED by Councillor Wergeland and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: "That the Minutes of the Council and Committee of the Whole Meetings held July 21, 2008, and the Special Council Meeting held July 22, 2008, be adopted."

CARRIED

BYLAWS

No. 202
ADM40/Spring Rd.
Bylaw 8944

WATER UTILITY BYLAW AMENDMENT - 4835 SPRING ROAD
Final Reading of the "Water Utility Bylaw, 2000, Amendment Bylaw, 2008, No. 8944". To include 4835 Spring Road in the Water Service Area.

MOVED by Councillor Wergeland and Seconded by Councillor Hunter: "That Bylaw No. 8944 be adopted by Council and the Seal of the Corporation be attached thereto."

CARRIED,
with Councillors Brownoff and Sanders voting against.

No. 203
EPW65/
Balmacarra Rd.
Bylaw 8946

SANITARY SEWER BYLAW AMENDMENT - 4588 BALMACARRA ROAD
Final Reading of the "Sanitary Sewer Bylaw, 2006, Amendment Bylaw, 2008, No. 8946". To include 4588 Balmacarra Road in the Sewer Service Area.

MOVED by Councillor Ngai and Seconded by Councillor Wergeland: "That Bylaw No. 8946 be adopted by Council and the Seal of the Corporation be attached thereto."

CARRIED

No. 204
EPW65/
Blenkinsop Rd.
Bylaw 8947

SANITARY SEWER BYLAW AMENDMENT - 4540 BLENKINSOP ROAD (A PORTION)
Final Reading of the "Sanitary Sewer Bylaw, 2006, Amendment Bylaw, 2008, No. 8947". To include a portion of 4540 Blenkinsop Road in the Sewer Service Area.

MOVED by Councillor Brice and Seconded by Councillor Wergeland: "That Bylaw No. 8947 be adopted by Council and the Seal of the Corporation be attached thereto."

CARRIED

No. 205
Saanich Road
Bylaw 8953

3501 SAANICH ROAD (A PORTION)

First Reading of the "Zoning Bylaw, 2003, Amendment Bylaw, 2008, No. 8953". Rezoning from C-2 to C-4.

**MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Gillespie:
"That Bylaw No. 8953 be introduced and read."**

CARRIED

RESOLUTIONS FOR ADOPTION

No. 206
PRO00
Purchase of Fire
Apparatus

SINGLE SOURCE PURCHASE OF FIRE APPARATUS

Joint report of the Fire Chief and the Director of Purchasing dated July 14, 2008 recommending Council approve the proposed single source contract negotiation process with Safetek Emergency Vehicles for the supply of three fire trucks not to exceed \$1,875,000.

In response to questions from Council, the Fire Chief stated:

- Three of the emergency vehicles are scheduled for replacement in 2010 and that is why they have started the contract negotiation process now.
- Saanich uses bio-diesel fuel for its emergency vehicles however there have been some problems with the injectors by using that type of fuel; the industry is moving towards creating an engine that is more acceptable to using bio-diesel fuel; he is not aware of any hybrid technology available at this time.
- Some of the old trucks will be kept for spare apparatus and others will be sold; if they cannot sell the vehicles for reasonable cost recovery then they could consider donating the trucks to other countries.

**MOVED by Councillor Ngai and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff:
"That Council approve the proposed single source contract
negotiation process with Safetek Emergency Vehicles for the supply
of three fire trucks not to exceed \$1,875,000."**

CARRIED

No. 207
PRO00
Fire Dispatch
Agreement with
Central Saanich

FIRE DISPATCH AGREEMENT WITH CENTRAL SAANICH

Report of the Fire Chief dated July 28, 2008 recommending Council approve the Fire Dispatch and Communications Services Agreement as outlined and move forward to provide fire dispatch service to the District of Central Saanich.

In response to questions from Council, the Fire Chief stated:

- The revenue from each municipality that Saanich provides fire dispatch service for varies based on population; the average is about \$75,000 to \$80,000 annually per municipality based on a five-year contact.
- With the current call volume, Saanich could take on two more clients and still maintain the service with two dispatchers per shift; if a larger municipality was added it would be necessary to add a third dispatcher because of the increased call volume.

MOVED by Councillor Gillespie and Seconded by Councillor Ngai: “That Council approve the Fire Dispatch and Communications Services Agreement as outlined and move forward to provide fire dispatch service to the District of Central Saanich.”

CARRIED

No. 208
PRO20
Emergency
Planning – UBCM
Grant Application

EMERGENCY PLANNING – UNION OF BC MUNICIPALITIES GRANT APPLICATION

Report of the Fire Chief dated July 29, 2008 recommending Council support and approve an application for a 2008 UBCM Emergency Planning Grant to create an Emergency Social Services Plan.

MOVED by Councillor Gillespie and Seconded by Councillor Brice: “That Council support and approve an application for a 2008 UBCM Emergency Planning Grant to create an Emergency Social Services Plan.”

CARRIED

No. 209
PLD40
Energy and
Emissions Grant
Applications

SOLAR BC AND COMMUNITY ACTION ON ENERGY AND EMISSIONS GRANT APPLICATIONS

Report of the Director of Planning dated July 25, 2008 recommending Council support and approve grant applications to the SolarBC Solar Communities program and the Community Action on Energy and Emissions program.

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Wergeland: “That Council support and approve grant applications to the SolarBC Solar Communities program and the Community Action on Energy and Emissions program.”

Councillor Browning stated:

- She supports the grant applications going forward; over the past 10 years Saanich has made great strides with regard to climate action.
- 70 percent of our housing stock is older homes built prior to 1983; the EnerGuide rating for those homes is around 61 and we want to get to about 80; it would be beneficial to reach some of our targets by retrofitting these older homes and solar panels could be a positive step forward in this regard.

Councillor Sanders stated:

- Saanich has the reputation for being a leader and this is another example; she congratulates staff for taking on this initiative and she supports it.

Councillor Derman stated:

- He also compliments staff and is happy to see that Saanich is getting involved in the implementation of alternative energy strategies; solar hot water heat is very viable in our area.

The Motion was then PUT and CARRIED

No. 210
ADM120
Grant Request

MUNICIPAL CHARGES TO FARMLANDS – PROPERTY TAX AND WATER RATES

Joint report from Mayor Leonard and Councillor Hunter dated July 30, 2008 recommending Council recommend to the 2009 Saanich Council that the class 9 farm property tax rate be reduced to the lowest in BC (50 cents per \$1,000 assessment), and that the current policy of selling water to farm properties for irrigation purposes at the below cost rate continue.

MOVED by Councillor Hunter and Seconded by Councillor Brice: “That Council recommend to the 2009 Saanich Council that the class 9 farm property tax rate be reduced to the lowest in BC (50 cents per \$1,000 assessment), and that the current policy of selling water to farm properties for irrigation purposes at the below cost rate continue.”

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- She feels that the issue is about farming and we have not yet received feedback from any of the farmers.
- Council should have discussed the issue of reducing the municipal tax rate for farm properties during this year’s budget deliberations; we do not even set our tax rates until the end of April and we were well aware at that time of what the BC Assessment Authority was intending to do with respect to our small farm operations in rural Saanich.
- With regard to the recommendation that Council continue the current policy of selling water to farm properties for irrigation purposes at the below cost rate - this is not a policy, it is captured in our Water Utility Bylaw.
- If we want to be proactive we should be recommending a policy requiring that any change to the agricultural rate come forward at a Committee of the Whole meeting so that our farmers can provide input.
- We need our farming community to let Council know what we should be asking the provincial government to do in order to assist them.
- The recommendation along with a number of other items should be forwarded to the Peninsula Agricultural Commission (PAC) to report back to Council in time for our six month OCP review and prior to the commencement of 2009 financial plan deliberations.

MOVED by Councillor Brownoff and Seconded by Councillor Derman: “That the recommendation be referred to the Peninsula Agricultural Commission (PAC) for comment and that the PAC also report back to Council by January 2009 on the following:

1. Any amendments necessary to the PAC terms of reference and objectives to reflect small farm operations outside the Agricultural Land Reserve;
2. Any missed opportunities not captured in the recently adopted Official Community Plan (OCP), for consideration in the OCP six month review process;

3. **Suggested municipal actions with respect to farming in areas such as food production, the environment, climate change, and community resilience, including any other actions, some of which may have been captured in the final report of the Capital Region Food and Health Action Plan recently presented at the CRD Planning and Protective Services Committee;**
4. **A recommended response from Council to the provincial government on how it can improve support for farming including small scale farming and changes required of the property assessment process."**

Councillor Derman stated:

- He thanks the Mayor and Councillor Hunter for bringing the issue forward to Council; anything that can be done for agriculture is a positive step.
- We need to approach the agricultural community and ask them what we can do to assist them; there would be some benefit to the proposed property tax reduction but the benefit to farms that have been reassessed to a split farm is minimal.
- It would be beneficial to provide the next Council with information as to what the farm community is looking for and what will help them.

Councillor Wergeland stated:

- We are trying to let the farming community know that we are concerned and supportive of them and that is what the proposed recommendations in the report convey.
- The viability of farming can also be impacted by the selling of farmland at real estate prices to non-farmers; in some countries farmland is sold below regular residential prices.
- It is important for the agricultural community to get together to discuss the issue and provide input; he supports the proposed recommendations in the joint report.

Councillor Sanders stated:

- Saanich has been drawn into the issue because of the BC Assessment Authority's recent review and reclassification of farm and residential properties in Saanich and Richmond.
- It is unfortunate that only the tax rate is within Saanich's purview and not the actual property assessments.
- She does not have any problems with obtaining more input; it may be beneficial to send the report to the PAC so that the information can be sent to the 2009 Council.
- She supports the referral motion.

Councillor Hunter stated:

- Although water rates fall under the purview of the Capital Regional District, Saanich as a large municipality tries to remain a positive best practice leader for other smaller municipalities.
- He thanks Council members for the good suggestions to pass along to the 2009 Council.

Councillor Gillespie stated:

- The Director of Finance has provided information that lists assessment increases in 2008 for 77 farm properties; he is very concerned about some of the large increases.
- Water rates for farm use in our municipality have been below cost since 2002 and may be the lowest in the province.
- He supports the referral motion.

Councillor Brice stated:

- She supports the referral motion; through the OCP, food security has been established as a high priority for our community.
- She likes the idea that referring the report to the PAC may bring back some other suggestions that could be acted on by future Councils; the joint report has served a very good purpose.

Mayor Leonard stated:

- There is very strong language in our OCP that supports farming and food security.
- The 2008 municipal tax rate for Class 9 farm properties was \$7.53 per \$1,000 assessed value based on a multiple of 2.4 of the residential rate; such a rate does not correspond with the OCP language.
- Some municipalities set the multiple for Class 9 at 1.0 which reduces the rate to \$3.10 per \$1,000 assessed value which is the same as the residential rate; Nanaimo and Kelowna set a multiple of less than 1.0 and charge 50 cents per \$1,000 assessed value
- He realizes that the amount of money saved by the farmers will be relatively small because the assessed values of farmland are quite low, however, if we are to be consistent with the OCP then it is better to be symbolically low than high, and to be with the lowest in the province would be a good place for this municipality to be;
- It will be beneficial to get feedback from the Peninsula Agricultural Commission and have it go forward to the future Council; he hopes we continue to look for ways to help everyone who is trying to earn a living in this municipality.

The Motion was then PUT and CARRIED

No. 211
ADM120
Farm Assessments

SAANICH FARMERS AND THE MORATORIUM ON FARM ASSESSMENT

Report from Councillor Derman dated July 23, 2008 recommending Council write a letter to the Minister of Small Business and Revenue requesting that the differential and unfair treatment of Saanich farmers be addressed by making the moratorium on classifications by BC Assessment retro-active to include areas that have already undergone a classification project thus returning them to their status prior to re-classification, and that Council seek to assist the farmers affected in any other feasible manner.

Councillor Derman stated:

- Recommendation No. 1 in his report is not as clear as it could be so he would like to amend it as follows: "That Council write a letter to the Minister of Small Business and Revenue requesting that the

differential and unfair treatment of Saanich farmers be addressed by making the effects of the moratorium on classifications by BC Assessment Authority applicable to areas that have already undergone a classification project, specifically that with the exception of the most egregious examples that farms in those areas classified as split farms in 2007 be returned to their 2006 assessment status pending the completion of the provincial review.”

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: “That:

- 1. Council write a letter to the Minister of Small Business and Revenue requesting that the differential and unfair treatment of Saanich farmers be addressed by making the effects of the moratorium on classifications by BC Assessment Authority applicable to areas that have already undergone a classification project, specifically that with the exception of the most egregious examples that farms in those areas classified as split farms in 2007 be returned to their 2006 assessment status pending the completion of the provincial review;**
- 2. Said letter be copied to Premier Gordon Campbell, the Minister of Agriculture and Lands, and the CEO of the British Columbia Assessment Authority;**
- 3. The July 23, 2008 report of Councillor Derman be attached as an appendix to the letter;**
- 4. Council seek to assist the farmers affected in any other feasible manner; and**
- 5. The July 23, 2008 report from Councillor Derman also be referred to the Peninsula Agricultural Commission (PAC) for information and input and with a request that they share with this Council their thoughts and position on this issue.”**

Mayor Leonard declared that as the Co-Chair of the Farm Assessment Review Panel that he will not be voting on any resolution so that he may continue to receive public input before forwarding recommendations to the provincial government. Acting Mayor Derman assumed the Chair and Mayor Leonard left the meeting at 8:10 p.m.

Councillor Hunter stated:

- He appreciates and supports the revised wording.
- Saanich is a large municipality and carries some weight; other municipalities look to us for some best practice guidance.

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- She supports the revised wording for Recommendation No. 1; it is much clearer.
- The process that BC Assessment Authority started in Saanich has turned into an unexpected financial hit to our small farm operations

- outside the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR).
- Farmers throughout the province with the exception of Saanich and Richmond did not get impacted by the 2007 re-assessment.
- According to BC Assessment Authority “food must be produced on every square inch of your small farm by people allowed to work at nothing else”; this same rule does not apply to lands in the ALR.
- Lands between two and ten acres only have to produce \$2,500 worth of gross revenue and that is why there is lots of estate farming; small producers under two acres, have to produce \$10,000 of gross revenue to qualify.
- We need to have a food security policy for this region; this policy could lead the way towards making food available for all people in all areas; we need small and large operators.

Councillor Sanders stated:

- She is happy the motion has been amended and she supports it.
- We are all aware of the value of our local farmers; the unfair re-assessment of farms in Saanich has created financial hardship and if farmers take the advice to remove tree cover from their farms in order to achieve increased or full farm status, it will result in a loss of our urban forests.
- We need to send a strong message to the provincial government that we will not condone the loss of our farms in Saanich or our urban forests.

Councillor Wergeland stated:

- He would like to know if the proposed recommendations are being referred to the Peninsula Agricultural Commission prior to going to the Province; there may some value to receiving feedback from the PAC first.

Councillor Derman stated:

- He has been working with the farming community for about the past nine months with regard to this issue and attended a few of the PAC meetings; his report is a result of their input.
- There is some urgency associated with this issue as the BC Assessment Authority is conducting their 2009 assessment and there is not a lot of time to launch an appeal.

Councillor Ngai stated:

- In order to be consistent and fair, she would like to see this issue referred to the PAC first; it would useful to have feedback from them and to have public input.

**MOVED by Councillor Ngai and Seconded by Councillor Wergeland:
“That motion be referred to the Peninsula Agricultural Commission (PAC) for their feedback prior to sending any correspondence to the provincial government.”**

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- She agrees that this issue is time sensitive; she understands the rationale for suggesting that it be referred to the PAC first but there may not be adequate time to get feedback from the PAC prior to the Assessment role being closed.

- We can still receive feedback from the PAC but she does not think we should delay sending a letter to the province voicing our concerns.

Councillor Sanders stated:

- She agrees that there needs to be consistency and would appreciate feedback from the PAC on this issue, but agrees there is some urgency with timelines.

Councillor Brice stated:

- She is not inclined to support the motion to refer it to the PAC first although she would certainly welcome any additional information they would wish us to forward on to the provincial government.

Councillor Ngai stated:

- She would like to have the PAC's feedback and hear from the farming community before we write to the Province; BC Assessment Authority has already closed off their Assessment Roll so she is not convinced that timelines will be impacted by waiting for the PAC's input.

Councillor Hunter stated:

- He feels that this issue should proceed because of the timing; however, he supports that it be referred to the PAC for their input and early response.

Councillor Wergeland stated:

- He does not think it would unreasonable to forward the report to the PAC for their September meeting and have their feedback come back to Council by the end of September.

Councillor Gillespie stated:

- The PAC has been a leader in this region since the early 1990's for all farmers; they have stayed constant over the years because there has always been Council representation from the respective municipalities and it is well recognized by the provincial government.
- He supports the referral motion.

The Amendment was then PUT and DEFEATED, with Councillors Brice, Brownoff, Hunter, and Sanders voting against.

Councillor Brice stated:

- Our deliberations and the direction to take should be captured with slightly more wording than it just be simply referred to the PAC for information; she would like it referred to the PAC for information and input and with a request that they share with this Council their thoughts and position on this issue.

Councillor Ngai stated:

- She supports the suggested wording from Councillor Brice; her intent was to have it referred to the PAC and get their input.

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- It is important for the letter to go forward to the provincial government.
- We have to let the farmers know that although we are sending the

letter to the government it is so they realize that we do not support what they have done to our farmers.

- However, it does not mean that we will not welcome any feedback from the PAC and our farmers so that we can then follow up with a more detailed letter to the provincial government; the farming community has to know that their input is valuable.

Councillor Derman stated:

- At one of the PAC meetings that he attended they passed a motion asking the province to establish a review process and that a moratorium be established; a review process was granted but the moratorium was not.
- Earlier this year the Farm Assessment Review Panel issued a recommendation to suspend any further farm classifications by the BC Assessment Authority but the Province did not apply it to Saanich or Richmond; this created a very unfair situation for our farmers compared to others in our province and is contrary to the basic fundamental rule of any assessment process that it be fair and equitable.

The Main Motion as Amended was then PUT and CARRIED

Adjournment On a motion from Councillor Gillespie, the meeting adjourned at 8:40 p.m.

The Meeting reconvened at 9:11 p.m.

Recommendations **RECOMMENDATIONS**

From the Committee of the Whole Meeting held August 11, 2008

No. 212
Banga Place
Development Permit
Amendment

450 BANGA PLACE – DEVELOPMENT PERMIT AMENDMENT – ERIC BARKER ARCHITECT INC.

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: “That Council approve and issue Development Permit Amendment DPA2008-00005 on Lot A, Section 7, Victoria District, Plan 34108 (450 Banga Place).”

CARRIED

No. 214
Interurban Road
Development
Variance Permit

4370 INTERURBAN ROAD – DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT – ANDIAMO INVESTMENTS LTD. (NOAH VIOLINI)

MOVED by Councillor Brownoff and Seconded by Councillor Gillespie: “That Council approve and issue Development Variance Permit DVP2008-00014 on Block 9, Section 20, Lake District, Amended Plan 1742 except that part in Plan 25354 (4370 Interurban Road).”

CARRIED

In Camera Motion

Moved by Councillor Hunter and Seconded by Councillor Gillespie: “That the following meeting be closed to the public as the subject matter being discussed relates to the proposed acquisition of land and/or improvements, to labour relations, and to personal information about identifiable individuals being considered for appointment.”

CARRIED

Adjournment

On a motion from Councillor Hunter, the meeting adjourned at 9:12 p.m.

.....
MAYOR

I hereby certify these Minutes are accurate.

.....
MUNICIPAL CLERK

landscape strip along the building in a curving form with smaller trees planted in between the larger trees to offset the block wall.

- The plantings will include low shrubbery using some native species in the buffer strip; there will also be Boston ivy planted against the block wall to unify all of the plantings.
- The Banga Place parking area will be comprised of pavers and asphalt; two retaining walls with plantings will be added to the parking area which will function as a rain garden for stormwater collection.

In response to questions from Council, Mr. Barker stated:

- They will consider incorporating voids into the non-mountable curb along Whittier Avenue.

Mr. A. Haddow, 3312 Whittier Avenue, stated:

- Sometimes he is woken up late at night by the noise coming from the subject facility; he is concerned that additional development may impact it further.
- There is a view from their windows of some greenery which they do not want to lose; there is also other development taking place further down Whittier Avenue which has generated more noise.

Ms. J. Buxton, 3330 Whittier Avenue, stated:

- She would like to know what kind of shrubbery will be planted in the buffer area and how far it will extend.
- She has some concerns with the parking variance that is being requested; vehicles already park along their street and she is concerned with safety.

In response to questions and comments, Mr. Forth stated:

- They are proposing to plant Crimson King maple trees which are a large deciduous shade tree with purple foliage along the boulevard frontage; the size of the trees will be eight centimeters caliper at breast height which is a fairly large size.
- They will also be planting Vine maple trees between the larger trees and these have a six centimeter caliper.
- The width of the curved buffer strip varies between 10 and 15 feet; the proposed plantings will be partially comprised of Mahonia and ornamental Barberry which both have spiked foliage and will help prevent people from using the area.
- The trees will create a layered canopied look along the boulevard and the vines planted against the building will create a green backdrop.

In response to questions and comments, Mr. Barker stated:

- Presently there is an existing fence which is approximately seven or eight feet high; the proposed new building will be 20 feet high so the noise factor will be reduced simply by the presence of the building in terms of noise spill.
- Anyone visiting the subject property accesses the site from Banga Place so the vehicles parking along Whittier Avenue are not associated with the subject development.

MOTION: MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Gillespie: “That it be recommended that Council approve and issue Development Permit Amendment DPA2008-00005 on Lot A, Section 7, Victoria District, Plan 34108 (450 Banga Place).”

Councillor Derman stated:

- The applicant has suggested that he may include more sound proofing features and has indicated that he will consider voids in the non-mountable curb along Whittier Avenue which will help with stormwater treatment.
- This is an ambitious landscape plan compared to what there is presently in the area and it will enhance the area.

Councillor Hunter stated:

- It will be beneficial to the immediate neighbours if the applicants can reduce any noise impacts associated with the proposed new building.
- The proposed landscape plan is attractive and will improve the look of the subject area.

Councillor Sanders stated:

- She is pleased that the applicants made a full presentation with regard to their proposal; it is an excellent proposal and she supports it.
- Constructing a non-mountable curb in this area is a good idea and incorporating voids will help with stormwater management.

Councillor Wergeland stated:

- He appreciates that the neighbours along Whittier Avenue provided input with regard to the proposal.
- The proposed landscape plan is very attractive and hopefully will be undertaken as presented.

The Motion was then PUT and CARRIED

Interurban Road
Development
Variance Permit

4370 INTERURBAN ROAD – DEVELOPMENT VARIANCE PERMIT – ANDIAMO INVESTMENTS LTD. (NOAH VIOLINI).

Report of the Director of Planning dated July 28, 2008 recommending approval of Development Variance Permit DVP2008-00014.

Mr. N. Violini, Manager, Bartlett Tree Service (formerly Mt. View Tree Service), stated:

- They have been operating at the subject location since 1986; they use the lower portion of the property for composting the wood chips they create in the tree services business.
- They were in the process of negotiating a new long term lease when they realized that the current bylaw only allows them to have their wood chip piles two metres high; in order for the chips to compost and store that amount of material, they require a variance to 7.5 metres.

In response to questions from Council, Mr. Violini stated:

- There is very little smell associated with their operation because it is just wood chips; they spoke to all of the immediate neighbours and there were no issues.

**MOTION: MOVED by Councillor Ngai and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff:
 "That it be recommended that Council approve and issue
 Development Variance Permit DVP2008-00014 on Block 9, Section
 20, Lake District, Amended Plan 1742 except that part in Plan 25354
 (4370 Interurban Road)."**

Councillor Derman stated:

- The operation is not visible from Interurban Road; he is happy to support the application.

The Motion was then PUT and CARRIED

Adjournment On a motion from Councillor Hunter, the meeting adjourned at
 9:10 p.m.

.....
 CHAIR

I hereby certify these Minutes are accurate.

.....
 MUNICIPAL CLERK