

MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL MEETING
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS
SAANICH MUNICIPAL HALL, 770 VERNON AVENUE
MONDAY, DECEMBER 3, 2007 AT 7:30 P.M.

Present: **Chair:** Mayor Leonard
Council: Councillors Brice, Brownoff, Derman, Gillespie, Hunter, Ngai, Sanders and Wergeland
Staff: Tim Wood, Administrator; Chris Nation, Municipal Solicitor; Russ Fuoco, Director of Planning; Colin Doyle, Director of Engineering; Carrie MacPhee, Manager of Legislative Services; and Shirley Leggett, Senior Committee Clerk.

MAYOR'S ANNUAL ADDRESS

No. 278
ADM75
Mayor's Annual
Address

MAYOR'S ANNUAL ADDRESS

Mayor Leonard read his Annual Address, a copy of which is attached to and forms part of these Minutes as Appendix "A".

No. 279
ADM85
Committee
Appointments

2008 COMMITTEE, BOARD AND COMMISSION APPOINTMENTS

Approval of the 2008 Committee, Board and Commission appointments as recommended.

MOVED by Councillor Hunter and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff:
"That the 2008 Committee, Board and Commission appointments as listed on Appendix "B" attached to and forming part of these minutes be approved and ratified."

CARRIED

Minutes

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

MOVED by Councillor Gillespie and Seconded by Councillor Sanders:
"That the Minutes of the Council and Committee of the Whole Meetings held November 26, 2007, and the Special Council Meeting held November 16, 2007, be adopted."

CARRIED

BYLAWS

No. 280
Carey Road
Bylaw 8894

ZONING BYLAW AMENDMENT – NEW ZONE RM-CR (MIXED RESIDENTIAL CAREY ROAD).

First Reading of the "Zoning Bylaw, 2003, Amendment Bylaw, 2007, No. 8894". To create a new zone RM-CR (Mixed Residential Carey Road).

MOVED by Councillor Brownoff and Seconded by Councillor Gillespie: "That Bylaw No. 8894 be introduced and read."

CARRIED

No. 281
Carey Road
Bylaw 8895

3912 AND 3914 CAREY ROAD.

First Reading of the "Zoning Bylaw, 2003, Amendment Bylaw, 2007, No. 8895". Rezoning from RS-6 to RM-CR.

MOVED by Councillor Wergeland and Seconded by Councillor Derman: "That Bylaw No. 8895 be introduced and read."

CARRIED

RESOLUTIONS FOR ADOPTION

No. 282
ADM115
CRD Bylaw 3470

CRD REGIONAL HOUSING TRUST FUND SERVICE ESTABLISHMENT BYLAW AMENDMENT – TO INCLUDE THE DISTRICT OF OAK BAY AS A PARTICIPANT.

Letter from the Capital Regional District dated November 16, 2007 requesting Council give consent to the CRD adopting Bylaw No. 3470, "Capital Regional District Regional Housing Trust Fund Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2005, Amendment Bylaw No. 4, 2007".

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: "That Council give consent to the CRD adopting Bylaw No. 3470, "Capital Regional District Regional Housing Trust Fund Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2005, Amendment Bylaw No. 4, 2007".

CARRIED

No. 283
ADM115
CRD Bylaw 3481

CRD ARTS AND CULTURE SUPPORT SERVICE ESTABLISHMENT BYLAW AMENDMENT – TO INCLUDE THE TOWN OF VIEW ROYAL AS A PARTICIPANT.

Letter from the Capital Regional District dated November 16, 2007 requesting Council give consent to the CRD adopting Bylaw No. 3481, "Arts and Culture Support Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2001, Amendment Bylaw No. 1, 2007".

Councillor Sanders stated:

- In 2000 the core municipalities began increasing grant funding to arts organizations through a CRD Arts Development Service to spur investment in the arts.
- The CRD Arts Development Service has been effective at supporting public arts programs for regionally significant art organizations; the Committee will be looking at local support and development of local arts organizations within the seven participating municipalities.
- The inclusion of View Royal is a positive step towards the future of arts in the community and the region.

MOVED by Councillor Brice and Seconded by Councillor Hunter: "That Council give consent to the CRD adopting Bylaw No. 3481, "Arts and Culture Support Service Establishment Bylaw No. 1, 2001, Amendment Bylaw No. 1, 2007".

CARRIED

No. 284
ADM115 - CRD
 CRD Voting

ADDITIONAL VOTE FOR SAANICH DIRECTORS AT CRD BOARD

Memorandum from the Manager of Legislative Services dated December 3, 2007 recommending that Council pass a motion assigning the additional vote at the CRD Board to a Director other than the Mayor; November 30, 2007 Memorandum from the CRD advising that effective December 1, 2007 the voting strength of the District of Saanich is “22”.

It was the consensus of Council to assign the additional vote at the CRD Board to the Director who received the highest number of votes in the informal poll undertaken during the 2005 election.

MOVED by Councillor Hunter and Seconded by Councillor Wergeland: “That Councillor Brice be assigned the additional vote at the CRD Board.”

CARRIED

No. 285
ADM120
 Farm Classification

BC REGULATION 411/95 – STANDARDS FOR THE CLASSIFICATION OF LAND AS A FARM

Memorandum from Mayor Leonard dated December 3, 2007 recommending Council ask the Minister of Small Business and Revenue to review BC Regulation 411/95 (Standards for the Classification of Land as a Farm) of the *Assessment Act* including definition, income requirement, eligibility criteria and consistency of application province-wide.

Councillor Derman stated:

- He would like to see the proposed motion revised so that it reflects a moratorium on the reassessment process for farms not in the ALR, and the reassessments rescinded for farms reclassified as split farms with the exception of those with minimal agricultural production.

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: “That:

1. **Council ask the Honorable Rick Thorpe, Minister of Small Business and Revenue, to review BC Regulation 411/95 (Standards for the Classification of Land as a Farm) of the *Assessment Act* including definition, income requirement, eligibility criteria and consistency of application province-wide; and further, pending the review, Council ask the Honourable Minister to place a moratorium on the reassessment process for farm properties not in the ALR, and rescind the reassessments for properties reclassified as split farms with the exception of properties where little or no agricultural production is being carried out.”**

CARRIED

Adjournment On a motion from Councillor Brownoff, the meeting adjourned at 7:50 p.m.
The Meeting reconvened at 9:00 p.m.

From the Committee of the Whole Meeting held December 3, 2007

Recommendations **RECOMMENDATIONS**

No. 286
St. George's Lane
Request for
Removal from
Heritage Register

3909 ST. GEORGE'S LANE – REQUEST TO REMOVE HERITAGE INVENTORIED CHURCH HALL FROM THE COMMUNITY HERITAGE REGISTER

MOVED by Councillor Brownoff and Seconded by Councillor Gillespie: "That:

- 1. The Community Heritage Register be amended to delete the Church Hall constructed in 1941 fronting on Maynard Street and addressed as 3909 St. George's Lane;**
- 2. A professional photographer, in consultation with the Heritage Foundation, document the building for Saanich Archives prior to removal of the structure;**
- 3. The applicant be requested to deconstruct the building as an alternative to demolition and salvage the materials and fixtures for reuse on site or offer materials to the Hallmark Society and the general community for reuse; and**
- 4. The owners be encouraged to consider submitting an application for heritage designation of the 1951 main Church building located at 3909 St. George's Lane."**

CARRIED

No. 287
Burke Street
Future
Use/Disposition of
Heritage Dwelling

751 BURKE STREET – OPTIONS FOR FUTURE USE/DISPOSITION OF THE HERITAGE DESIGNATED BUILDING WITHIN CUTHBERT HOLMES PARK

MOVED by Councillor Gillespie and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: "That:

- 1. Bylaw No. 6370 designating 751 Burke Street as a heritage building be repealed and the Community Heritage Register be amended to delete the dwelling from the Register;**
- 2. The dwelling be photographed prior to deconstruction and the materials salvaged wherever possible;**
- 3. Funding be provided for the creation and installation of interpretive signage depicting the history of the site; and**
- 4. Funding of \$40,000 to remove the building and landscape the site, be advanced from the Land Sales Reserve Fund."**

CARRIED

In Camera Motion **MOVED by Councillor Brownoff and Seconded by Councillor Gillespie: “That the following meeting be closed to the public as the subject matter relates to personal information about identifiable individuals being considered for appointments.”**

CARRIED

Adjournment On a motion from Councillor Brownoff, the meeting adjourned at 9:01 p.m.

.....
MAYOR

I hereby certify these Minutes are accurate.

.....
MUNICIPAL CLERK

MINUTES OF THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING
 HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS
 SAANICH MUNICIPAL HALL, 770 VERNON AVENUE
MONDAY, DECEMBER 3, 2007 AT 7:51 P.M.

Present: **Chair:** Councillor Derman
Council: Mayor Leonard and Councillors Brice, Brownoff, Gillespie, Hunter, Ngai, Sanders and Wergeland
Staff: Tim Wood, Administrator; Chris Nation, Municipal Solicitor; Russ Fuoco, Director of Planning; Colin Doyle, Director of Engineering; Carrie MacPhee, Manager of Legislative Services; and Shirley Leggett, Senior Committee Clerk.

Canterbury Road
 Rezoning

842 CANTERBURY ROAD – REZONING APPLICATION – JAMES LATHAM.

Report of the Director of Planning dated November 22, 2007 recommending approval of the rezoning from RS-6 to RS-2.

In response to questions from Council, the Director of Planning stated:

- The issue of siting for the proposed new dwellings could be referred to the Approving Officer for consideration, or the applicant could be asked to vary the siting in accordance with the siting of the adjacent properties on the street.

Mr. J. Latham, owner and applicant, 842 Canterbury Road, stated:

- He thanks the Planning Department for their assistance with his rezoning application; the process was very friendly.
- The proposed siting of the two new dwellings is comparable to the adjacent properties.

In response to questions from Council, Mr. Latham stated:

- He is planning to use a combination of gravel and/or paving strips with grass for both of the proposed driveways.
- He is prepared to covenant the proposed concept plans of the two dwellings that have been presented to Council.
- The existing dwelling will be moved to Shawnigan Lake.

MOTION: MOVED by Mayor Leonard and Seconded by Councillor Brice: “That a Public Hearing be called.”

Councillor Brice stated:

- The applicant has done an excellent job and she commends him; he has lots of documented support from his neighbours and has made an effort to be a good neighbour.

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- The proposed house designs are very interesting and have unusual roof lines.
- She commends the applicant for obtaining written support for the proposed subdivision from other residents on the street.

- Some of the properties on the other side of the road from the subject parcel have been previously subdivided; she hopes that if the development proceeds, the concerns pertaining to stormwater runoff and traffic that were noted in the correspondence from the Rainbow Ratepayers' Group will be considered.

Councillor Derman stated:

- He encourages the applicant to incorporate as many energy efficient features into the proposed development as possible and looks forward to receiving more information on them at the Public Hearing.

The Motion was then PUT and CARRIED

St. George's Lane
Request for
Removal from
Heritage Register

3909 ST. GEORGE'S LANE – REQUEST TO REMOVE HERITAGE INVENTORIED CHURCH HALL FROM THE COMMUNITY HERITAGE REGISTER.

Report of the Director of Planning dated November 20, 2007 making recommendations with regard to removing the 1941 constructed church hall fronting on Maynard Street from the Community Heritage Register, and that Council request the owners to submit an application for heritage designation of the 1951 main church building at 3909 St. George's Lane.

Reverend R. Blackman, on behalf of the Anglican Synod of the Diocese of BC and the parish of St. George the Martyr Church, stated:

- They are happy to comply with the recommendation in the Planner's report to have the subject building professionally photographed in consultation with the Heritage Foundation prior to its removal; deconstructing and salvaging the materials has always been part of their overall plan.
- They have significant issues however, with the recommendation to submit an application for heritage designation for the 1951 main Church building; it has been added to several times over the years and they do not understand how it qualifies to be on the Heritage Register or what its heritage significance is.
- The Diocese feels confident that it is capable of managing the assets of the Church's properties, including maintaining those of architectural and heritage value, through its existing structures, canons and policies without adding other secular bureaucratic partners, therefore, they are unable to acquiesce to this request.
- At the Heritage Foundation meeting they only discussed the 1941 church hall; it was always meant to be a temporary structure and would have been removed in the 1970's but they entered into a private lease agreement with a dance school.
- For the past eight to ten years they have been developing a plan for redefining the entire site; they are trying to move ahead with renewing and improving the main Church building by investing significant funds into renovations such as a new roof, windows, heating system, and insulation, and making it handicapped accessible.
- They feel that there should be more consultation with regard to the request for heritage designation of the main Church building.

Mr. B. Shuya, president, Saanich Heritage Foundation, stated:

- The applicants met with the Heritage Foundation in October; he visited the site and discussed the application to remove the subject building.
- The Foundation does not have any issues with regard to removing the subject building, however, they requested that it be deconstructed and not demolished.
- Both of the buildings on the site are on the Heritage Register so they are acknowledged as having heritage significance; the Foundation suggested that the main Church building be designated and encouraged the applicant to consider it; however, if the applicant has a long term plan for the site that may not include the Church building remaining on the site then it could become an issue.
- The Foundation's recommendation was not based solely on the designation of the Church and it appears that there should be more discussion on it; he would be happy to discuss it further with the applicants.

Mayor Leonard stated:

- Perhaps the wording of the recommendation could be amended to state: *"That Council encourage the owners to consider submitting an application for heritage designation....."*

In response to questions from Council, Mr. Shuya stated:

- If the church building was designated and the applicants wished to add on to it at a later date, they would be required to apply for a Heritage Alteration Permit which the Foundation would review; if the Foundation considered the addition to be sympathetic to the integrity of the original building, then the application would likely be approved.
- Currently the Heritage Foundation only provides funding for single family dwellings through its Heritage Restoration Grant Program; perhaps in the future there could be an opportunity for a commercial grant program similar to what the City of Victoria has.
- Typically heritage designations are only for the exterior of a building; the Foundation acknowledges the cost of cedar shingles and is supportive of applicants using textured asphalt shingles on heritage buildings.

MOTION:

MOVED by Councillor Brownoff and Seconded by Councillor Sanders: "That it be recommended to Council that:

- 1. The Community Heritage Register be amended to delete the Church Hall constructed in 1941 fronting on Maynard Street and addressed as 3909 St. George's Lane;**
- 2. A professional photographer, in consultation with the Heritage Foundation, document the building for Saanich Archives prior to removal of the structure;**
- 3. The applicant be requested to deconstruct the building as an alternative to demolition and salvage materials and fixtures for reuse on site or offer the materials to the Hallmark Society and the general community for reuse; and**

4. The owners be encouraged to consider submitting an application for heritage designation of the 1951 main Church building located at 3909 St. George's Lane."

Councillor Brice stated:

- Both the Church and the Heritage Foundation recognize that if there is to be some consideration of the Church being designated it will require more discussion of some of the issues that have been raised.
- The amended wording is a much better way of approaching the issue of designation; it is not restrictive and is in harmony with what the Foundation is intending.

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- She supports the proposed amendment to the wording in the motion.
- The Church is a lovely piece of history in the area; she hopes that when the building is deconstructed the applicants will consider using some other kind of surface material other than asphalt as it would be too harsh in that setting and it will also help with water runoff.
- She commends the applicants for looking at the issue of handicapped accessibility.
- The Foundation has a wealth of information and they can be of assistance with regard to the history of the buildings.

Councillor Sanders stated:

- She is pleased that the building will be deconstructed as there are likely owners of other heritage buildings that could use some of the salvaged materials.
- She also hopes there may be an opportunity for some of the salvageable material with heritage significance to be used in the renovations of the main Church building.
- We need to do a better job in Saanich in educating the public on the meaning of heritage; it is not just an old building or a significant architect - it is what a building contributes to the significance of the history of Saanich; the Church has a great history in the Cadboro Bay area and is very recognizable with its distinctive architecture.

Councillor Wergeland stated:

- He can understand why the applicants are cautious about proceeding with heritage designation because the needs of a Church can change as the years go by.
- He recognizes that the applicants realize the history of their Church and the measures they are prepared to take to preserve it; perhaps the Heritage Foundation could work with them on a plaque that recognizes the heritage significance of the building.

Councillor Derman stated:

- He congratulates the applicants for their progressive approach to the future of their property.
- He encourages them to consider designating the main Church building; it is a significant historical building in that community.

The Motion was then PUT and CARRIED

ADM40

Animals Bylaw

ANIMALS BYLAW AMENDMENT – AGGRESSIVE DOGS.

Report of the Municipal Solicitor dated October 30, 2007 recommending Council adopt a revised amendment to the Animals Bylaw to deal with aggressive dogs.

In response to questions from Council, the Municipal Solicitor stated:

- Citizen Canine had suggested the words “with intent” be added to the definition of “aggressive dog”; in discussions with Pound Inspectors Green and Ryan, it was felt that it would make enforcement extremely difficult for Pound Inspectors; to prove the intent of the dog in the event of an attack is too subjective.
- The issue of tethering dogs is not addressed in the subject amendments; it is a separate issue that the Pound Inspectors would have to review.

Ms. C. Dulberg, 600 Linnet Lane, stated:

- She thanks everyone for the time and effort that was spent trying to improve the wording of the Animals Bylaw with respect to aggressive dogs.
- Although she feels the proposed amendments are an improvement, there are still instances where the proposed wording is open for inappropriate interpretation and application
- While she agrees “with intent” could be problematic, “intent” is clearly and precisely defined by the Citizen Canine Dog Owners’ Association.
- A poorly behaved dog that is considered very friendly could jump up and scratch someone which could lead to the application of the bylaw; she does not think that situation would be consistent with the intent of the Committee by categorizing a dog as aggressive.
- The current wording also applies the same level of injury to persons and companions or other animals; she suggests that the level of injury be separated for humans versus non humans.

Ms. C. Bow, 1173 Portage Road, stated:

- She thinks the Committee did a good job on the proposed amendments to the Bylaw; they have left it to the Pound Inspectors to use their expertise and judgment.
- She likes the fact that an aggressive dog designation can be removed after six months if the dog has successfully completed a dog behavior training program; most of the time the problem is with the dog owners and they should be required to attend as well.
- The amendments are in keeping with Saanich’s progressive dog restrictions.

Ms. N. Kuzmyn stated:

- She would like more information on the issue of tethering dogs.
- The proposed amendments pertaining to “aggressive dog” and “dangerous dog” fail to take into consideration that an injury can occur on the property occupied by the owner of the dog; it is unclear whether an incident that occurs on private property is covered by the proposed wording.
- BC is the only province that does not have substantial wording in its

legislation with regard to aggressive dogs and liability issues.

Mr. I. Graeme, 2615 Dean Avenue, stated:

- The proposed amendments provide clarity to the Bylaw and he supports them.
- Section 11.13 pertains to a dog behavior training program for aggressive dogs and he wonders if the training should also extend to the dog owners.

In response to questions and comments, the Municipal Solicitor stated:

- The definition of "aggressive dog" in the proposed amendment provides that a dog is only being aggressive if the attack occurs on public property or private property other than property owned by the dog owner.

MOTION:

MOVED by Councillor Ngai and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: "That Council adopt a revised amendment to the Animals Bylaw as outlined in the October 30, 2007 report of the Municipal Solicitor."

Councillor Ngai stated:

- She thanks the Solicitor and the Committee for the report; it is a good step forward.

Councillor Sanders stated:

- She is happy with the proposed amendments to the Bylaw; she is pleased to see that the definitions of "aggressive dog" and "dangerous dog" are not breed specific.
- She agrees that dog owners should be required to participate in the behavior training program when their dogs have been designated aggressive.

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- When the issue previously came forward to a Council Meeting it was contentious because the public was not allowed to speak to the issue; she appreciates hearing their meaningful input.
- She would like to see staff review the issue of tethering dogs; perhaps they could work with the SPCA and other stakeholders.
- She agrees that many dog owners are lax about training their dogs.

Councillor Wergeland stated:

- The proposed amendments provide more clarity to the Bylaw.
- He thinks it would be a good idea to forward a copy of the proposed Bylaw to the Capital Regional District so they are aware of Saanich's position on the issue.

Councillor Hunter stated:

- Staff and the community have worked hard to develop the proposed amendments and he agrees that the Bylaw should be sent to the CRD.

Councillor Brice stated:

- She supported the previous proposed amendments to the Animals Bylaw pertaining to aggressive dogs but thinks the proposed new wording is better.
- She thanks everyone who was involved and supports the proposed

amendments to the Bylaw.

Councillor Derman stated:

- He congratulates staff for their hard work; the proposed amendments provide more clarity to the Animals Bylaw; most dog owners act responsibly with their dogs.
- Hopefully staff will consider what needs to be done with regard to the issue of tethering.

The Motion was then PUT and CARRIED

Burke Street
Future Use/
Disposition of
Heritage Dwelling

751 BURKE STREET – OPTIONS FOR FUTURE USE/DISPOSITION OF THE HERITAGE DESIGNATED BUILDING WITHIN CUTHBERT HOLMES PARK.

Joint report of the Directors of Planning and Parks and Recreation dated November 19, 2007 recommending Heritage Designation Bylaw 6370 be repealed and the Community Heritage Register be amended, that the dwelling be photographed, de-constructed and materials salvaged as much as possible, that funding be provided for creation and installation of interpretive signage depicting the history of the site, and that funding of \$40,000 to remove the building and landscape the site be advanced from the Land Sales Reserve Fund.

Ms. C. Bow, 1173 Portage Road, stated:

- She knew the previous owners of the subject property; there is a huge Kentucky cypress tree on the property and as far as she is aware, it is the only one of its kind in the region; she would like to know if it can be designated a significant tree.

Mr. P. Gerrard, president, Gorge Tillicum Community Association, stated:

- The Association supports Option 1 in the Planning report: to repeal the heritage designation and deconstruct the existing dwelling; the Association feels the real value is in the land itself.
- The Association would like to propose that the site be developed as a community allotment garden; Burke Street is a dead end so it would be a quiet location, the property slopes down toward the Colquitz Creek, and there are no immediate neighbours who would be impacted.
- Seniors may enjoy having a small garden plot; there are many children who do not know how or where fresh produce is grown so there could be an educational aspect to their proposed initiative.
- The addition of the subject property to Cuthbert Holmes Park would be welcome, but they feel a community allotment garden would enhance the property in a positive way.

Mr. B. Shuya, president, Saanich Heritage Foundation, stated:

- The Foundation reviewed the issue of the existing heritage dwelling and some members visited the site; it was a difficult application for the Foundation to consider.
- The Foundation regrets the loss of any heritage structure in the Municipality, however, the estimated cost of \$200,000 to restore the dwelling is difficult to justify.
- The dwelling has been a victim of neglect for the past 50 years; the building inspection that was completed indicated that the dwelling is in a very poor condition and the Foundation could not see a cost

effective use for the building.

Mr. G. Fleming, Park Planning & Design Manager, stated:

- Options for the future use of the designated dwelling were discussed with the Heritage Foundation and staff from the Parks and Recreation, Planning, and Lands Departments; they could not rationalize a use for the building or the cost to restore it.
- Staff will be looking at future uses for the property and will also be reviewing the health of all of the existing trees on the site.
- The idea of developing community allotment gardens on the site can be considered as well.

Council asked that the issue of the Kentucky cypress tree be referred to the Significant Tree Committee and that the community allotment garden concept be referred to the Parks, Trails, and Recreation Advisory Committee for their consideration.

MOTION:

MOVED by Mayor Leonard and Seconded by Councillor Brice: “That it be recommended that:

- 1. Bylaw No. 6370 designating 751 Burke Street as a heritage building be repealed and the Community Heritage Register be amended to delete the dwelling from the Register;**
- 2. The dwelling be photographed prior to deconstruction and the materials salvaged wherever possible;**
- 3. Funding be provided for the creation and installation of interpretive signage depicting the history of the site; and**
- 4. Funding of \$40,000 to remove the building and landscape the site, be advanced from the Land Sales Reserve Fund.”**

Councillor Gillespie stated:

- The idea of community allotment gardens on the subject property is a good idea; it would be a useful way to use the property and a benefit to the community.

Councillor Sanders stated:

- She is happy that the dwelling will be photographed prior to its deconstruction; she likes the idea of an interpretive sign illustrating the history of the property.
- The suggestion of developing the site as an allotment garden is an interesting concept and would be good for the community.
- She agrees that the house was not maintained over the years and that its loss is due to neglect.

Councillor Derman stated:

- It is regrettable that the heritage dwelling will be lost; it would be a good idea to include the history of the owners of the property as well as the history of the site on the interpretive signage.

- This is another example of demolition by neglect; there needs to be some proper guidelines in place so that it does not keep happening in the Municipality.

The Motion was then PUT and CARRIED

Adjournment

On a motion from Councillor Gillespie, the meeting adjourned at 8:59 p.m.

.....
CHAIR

I hereby certify these Minutes are accurate.

.....
MUNICIPAL CLERK