

MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL MEETING  
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS  
SAANICH MUNICIPAL HALL, 770 VERNON AVENUE  
**MONDAY, AUGUST 13, 2007 AT 7:30 P.M.**

Present: **Chair:** Mayor Leonard  
**Council:** Councillors Brice, Brownoff, Derman, Gillespie, Sanders and Wergeland  
**Staff:** Tim Wood, Administrator; Chris Nation, Municipal Solicitor; Russ Fuoco, Director of Planning; Carrie MacPhee, Manager of Legislative Services; Mike Lai, Manager of Transportation; and Shirley Leggett, Senior Committee Clerk.

Minutes **ADOPTION OF MINUTES**

**MOVED by Councillor Brownoff and Seconded by Councillor Gillespie: "That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held July 23, 2007, be adopted."**

**CARRIED**

Bylaws **BYLAWS**

No. 183 **REPEAL BYLAW (ASKE HOLDINGS LTD. LAND USE CONTRACT**  
ADM40 **BYLAW).**

Bylaw 8867 Three Readings of the "Repeal Bylaw, 2007 (Aske Holdings Ltd. Land Use Contract Bylaw, 1975, No. 3732), No. 8867".

**MOVED by Councillor Brice and Seconded by Councillor Wergeland: "That Bylaw No. 8867 be introduced and read."**

**CARRIED**

**MOVED by Councillor Brice and Seconded by Councillor Wergeland: "That Bylaw No. 8867 be read a second time."**

**CARRIED**

**MOVED by Councillor Brice and Seconded by Councillor Wergeland: "That Bylaw No. 8867 be now passed."**

**CARRIED**

**RESOLUTIONS FOR ADOPTION**

No. 184 **FIRE SERVICES AGREEMENT (CAPITAL REGIONAL DISTRICT) –**  
PRO00 **DURRANCE/RUDY ROADS.**

Fire Services Agreement Report of the Fire Chief dated August 8, 2007 recommending Council authorize renewal of the Fire Services Agreement (Durrance and Rudy Roads) with the Capital Regional District including an increase to the annual retainer fee from \$150 to \$200 per property.

**MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Gillespie: "That Council authorize renewal of the Fire Services Agreement (Durrance and Rudy Roads) with the Capital Regional District for a term beginning September 1, 2007 and ending August 31, 2012, such agreement to include an increase to the annual retainer fee from \$150 to \$200 per property."**

**CARRIED**

No. 185  
PQS100  
Tender 36/07

**TENDER 36/07 – RITHET TRUNK WATER MAIN WATERWORKS FITTINGS.**

Report of the Director of Purchasing dated August 8, 2007 recommending Tender 36/07 for the Rithet Trunk Watermain waterworks fittings be awarded to Emco Ltd. as the lowest bidder.

**MOVED by Councillor Gillespie and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: “That Tender 36/07 for the Rithet Trunk Watermain waterworks fittings be awarded to Emco Ltd. as the lowest bidder.”**

**CARRIED**

**RECOMMENDATIONS FROM COMMITTEES**

*From the Environmental Advisory Committee Meeting held June 26, 2007.*

No. 186  
ADM115– CRD/  
PLD40  
Pesticide  
Reduction

**APPROACH TO PESTICE REDUCTION**

Correspondence from the Chair, Roundtable on the Environment, Capital Regional District, dated May 23, 2007 and Memoranda from the Chair of the Environmental Advisory Committee dated August 7, 2007 and the Manager of Environmental Services dated June 11, 2007, recommending that Saanich staff continue to participate in, and contribute to, a coordinated CRD-wide educational campaign, beginning with a public telephone survey to establish baseline information on pesticide use.

**MOVED by Councillor Sanders and Seconded by Councillor Derman: “That Saanich staff continue to participate in and contribute to a coordinated CRD-wide educational campaign, beginning with a public telephone survey to establish baseline information on pesticide use.”**

Councillor Sanders stated:

- At their June meeting, the Environmental Advisory Committee discussed the issue of pesticide reduction and Saanich’s participation in the CRD’s Alternatives to Pesticide Public Education Campaign Working Group.
- It is the Working Group’s objective to significantly reduce pesticide use in the region over the next three to five years, increase public awareness, link the benefits of pesticide reduction to health and other environmental issues, identify barriers to pesticide reduction, and acquaint people with the far-reaching implications of pesticide use.
- The first step being undertaken by the Working Group is to establish a baseline by conducting a statistically reliable telephone survey of residents within the CRD; the results will assist in directing the type of education that would be the most effective.
- After approximately three years of public education, the survey will be conducted again; based on those results, a recommendation could be made to evaluate the need for a pesticide bylaw in Saanich.

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- Pesticide use is a long standing issue; a region-wide public education

campaign for pesticide reduction is key to dealing with the issue and is a good first step; if that is not successful then it may be necessary to establish a bylaw to regulate the use of pesticides.

- There are many dangerous chemicals that the public needs to be aware of; some countries have banned specific chemicals from being used by the public.
- Studies have shown that many farmers have developed Parkinson's disease from long term pesticide use.

Councillor Gillespie stated:

- In the 1990's a campaign was started to reduce the amount of pesticides being used at the Cedar Hill Golf Course; that has been a big accomplishment for Saanich.
- Some of the chemicals that people use should be banned from being sold.
- We need to reduce the amount of toxins that make their way into the storm drains and then enter our streams and waterways.

Councillor Wergeland stated:

- He supports the resolution; educating the public on the impacts of pesticide use on the environment and on peoples' health is important.

Councillor Derman stated:

- He supports the resolution; many people do not know how to safely handle pesticides and endanger themselves and the environment.
- Pesticides disturb the natural ecology of our environment and toxins enter the water systems.
- He supports an education program on pesticide use; there are also alternatives to using pesticides which result in a healthier environment.

Councillor Brice stated:

- She commends the CRD's Roundtable on the Environment for undertaking the initiative.
- The Working Group consists of staff representatives from seven of the Municipalities and electoral areas in the Capital Region and the Canadian Cancer Society.
- The telephone poll will consist of in-depth questions in order to establish a baseline and targets which will then assist in directing the type of education that will be the most effective.
- To date several member municipalities, along with the Canadian Cancer Society and various CRD departments, have pledged financial support for the initiative.
- The partnership represents a valuable opportunity for the region's municipalities and electoral areas to pool resources, ideas and energy, and work together to improve the health and ecological integrity of our entire region.

**The Motion was then PUT and CARRIED**

Adjournment

On a Motion from Councillor Brownoff, the meeting adjourned at 7:45 p.m.

The meeting reconvened at 9:11 p.m.

*From the Committee of the Whole Meeting held August 13, 2007.*

Dysart Road  
Subdivision

**2880 DYSART ROAD – SUBDIVISION APPLICATION – PETER WILHELM REINARTZ AND RAHNGHILD WATSON**

**MOVED** by Councillor Brownoff and **Seconded** by Councillor Gillespie: “That Council approve an exemption from the 10 percent perimeter road frontage requirement under Section 944(2) of the *Local Government Act* for proposed Lot A of a subdivision of Lot 16, Section 20, Victoria District, Plan 801, except the northerly 32.5 feet thereof forming part of Parcel “A” thereof, and except the southerly 60 feet of said lot (2880 Dysart Road).”

**CARRIED**

West Saanich Rd.  
Remedial Action  
Order

**4997 WEST SAANICH ROAD – REMEDIAL ACTION ORDER**

**MOVED** by Councillor Brownoff and **Seconded** by Councillor Gillespie: “That Council pass the following resolution imposing remedial action requirements:

1. Council hereby declares the unlicensed vehicles, vehicle parts and disused items stored outside on the lands described as Lot 1, Section 104, Plan 23202, having a street address of 4997 West Saanich Road, (herein called “the lands”) to be a nuisance pursuant to Section 74(1)(d) of the *Community Charter* 2003 SBC.c.26;
2. The Council hereby directs Mrs. Annette Jay (herein called “the owner of the lands”) to remove all the unlicensed vehicles, vehicle parts, and disused items stored outside on the lands within sixty (60) days from the date on which notice of this order is served upon the owner of the lands; and
3. If the owner of the lands fails to comply with the requirements of Section 2, the Municipality may through its employees, agents, or contractors, enter upon the lands and carry out the work described in Section 1 at the expense of the owner pursuant to Section 17 of the *Community Charter*.”

**CARRIED**

In Camera Motion

**MOVED** by Councillor Brice and **Seconded** by Councillor Gillespie: “That the following meeting be closed to the public as the subject matter being discussed relates to the proposed acquisition and disposition of land and/or improvements.”

**CARRIED**

Adjournment            On a motion from Councillor Gillespie, the meeting adjourned at 9:12 p.m.

.....  
MAYOR

I hereby certify these Minutes are accurate.

.....  
MUNICIPAL CLERK

MINUTES OF THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING  
 HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS  
 SAANICH MUNICIPAL HALL, 770 VERNON AVENUE  
**MONDAY, AUGUST 13, 2007 AT 7:46 P.M.**

Present: **Chair:** Councillor Wergeland  
**Council:** Mayor Leonard and Councillors Brice, Brownoff, Derman, Gillespie, and Sanders  
**Staff:** Tim Wood, Administrator; Chris Nation, Municipal Solicitor; Russ Fuoco, Director of Planning; Carrie MacPhee, Manager of Legislative Services; Mike Lai, Manager of Transportation; and Shirley Leggett, Senior Committee Clerk.

Dysart Road  
 Subdivision

**2880 DYSART ROAD – SUBDIVISION APPLICATION – PETER WILHELM REINARTZ AND RAHNGHILD WATSON**

Report of the Director of Planning dated July 17, 2007 recommending that proposed Lot A be exempted from the statutory requirement to provide a minimum 10 percent perimeter road frontage under Section 944(2) of the *Local Government Act*.

In response to a question from Council, the Director of Planning stated:

- An arborist has looked at the cedar hedge and Atlas cedar tree to define the critical root zones, and has recommended the driveway be located north of the tree, floated above the root systems, and kept as narrow as possible; the Approving Officer will take that into consideration as a condition of approval.

Ms. S. Bonet, on behalf of the owners and applicants, stated:

- The proposal is to subdivide the subject property and create one additional lot; it will not affect the existing streetscape or the neighbours' privacy.
- They intend to build a small one storey, non-basement, dwelling with a footprint of approximately 160 square metres including the veranda.
- The dwelling will be a sustainable building with insulated concrete forms and solar panels to reduce energy consumption; they are also considering a green roof to reduce stormwater runoff.
- They are intending to remove only a few metres of the cedar hedge that straddles the northerly property line in order to accommodate the proposed driveway.

In response to questions from Council, Ms. Bonet and Mr. Reinartz, stated:

- The design plans for the proposed dwelling are not completed yet; they understood that the first step of the process was to get approval for the subdivision; they could covenant the proposed footprint if the proposal is approved.
- The hedge is located on her client's property; they are hoping to prune just enough of the hedge to get past the Atlas cedar tree as they do not want to impact either the neighbours' privacy or their own.

**MOTION:** **MOVED by Councillor Gillespie and Seconded by Councillor Brice:**  
**“That it be recommended that Council approve an exemption from the 10 percent perimeter road frontage requirement under Section 944(2) of the *Local Government Act* for proposed Lot A of a subdivision of Lot 16, Section 20, Victoria District, Plan 801, except the northerly 32.5 feet thereof forming part of Parcel “A” thereof, and except the southerly 60 feet of said lot (2880 Dysart Road).”**

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- She supports the proposed subdivision and looks forward to seeing the green initiatives that are proposed for the new dwelling.
- She hopes that the cedar hedge is retained and that the Approving Officer takes it into consideration during the subdivision process.

Councillor Derman stated:

- He supports the proposal; only an existing shed and some fruit trees will be impacted by the proposed new lot.
- He hopes that the comments made regarding the cedar hedge and energy conservation measures will be considered by the Approving Officer.

**The Motion was then PUT and CARRIED**

Sinclair Road  
Rezoning

**2588 SINCLAIR ROAD AND 2589 AND 2591 PENRHYN STREET – REZONING APPLICATION – VIC DAVIES ARCHITECT 2003 LTD.**

Report of the Director of Planning dated July 17, 2007 recommending the Official Community Plan be amended to include the site in the Cadboro Bay Village Development Permit Area and the rezoning from C-2 and RS-10 to C-4 be approved; that prior to the Public Hearing an acceptable retention plan for the London Plane trees on Sinclair Street be provided; and that prior to the issuance of a Demolition Permit the applicant submit an archaeological assessment to the BC Ministry of Tourism, Sport and the Arts Archaeological Branch. Report of the Advisory Design Panel dated March 12, 2007 recommending approval of the design subject to further consideration of reversing the one way traffic flow so that the entrance to the site is from Penrhyn Street.

In response to questions from Council, the Director of Planning stated:

- Since the report was written the applicants have had the archaeological assessment done; preliminary findings indicate that there are no artifacts of an archaeological significance likely on the subject property.
- However, the applicants have been cautioned to excavate carefully.

Mr. D. Bazett, Vic Davies Architect 2003 Ltd., stated:

- The subject property is comprised of three lots; currently two of the lots are zoned C-2 (General Commercial) and the other lot is zoned RS-10 (Single Family Dwelling).
- The proposal is to consolidate the lots and rezone to C-4 (Office and Apartment) in order to construct a mixed use office/residential project consisting of a three-storey, 10-unit apartment building with office space, and two attached housing units.
- They held two Open Houses in February and May 2007 with the

Cadboro Bay Residents' Association, residents, and business owners in the area; as a result of some concerns that were expressed the proposal was revised twice.

- They are now proceeding with the original proposal but the number of units has been reduced and minor revisions have been made to the façade; the Residents' Association supported the revisions.
- The archaeological assessment has been completed; an arborist has looked at the London Plane trees and determined that they will not be impacted by the proposed development.

In response to questions from Council, Mr. Bazett stated:

- They are reviewing the LEEDS guidelines and are planning to make the development as energy efficient as possible; they are considering solar panels although the Residents' Association has some concerns about them being visible.
- They will consider deconstruction instead of demolition and see what materials can be salvaged.
- They have not been requested to construct a sidewalk but if one is required they will provide it.
- At the Public Hearing they will provide the view of the development along Sinclair Road.
- They are proposing to create an extensive landscape buffer and plant large trees between the development and the adjacent dwelling on Sinclair Road in order to create some privacy for the neighbours.
- They will provide additional information at the Public Hearing on the energy efficient measures they will be incorporating into the development as well as the landscape plan.
- The one-way internal driveway through the development is not a straight line; some kind of traffic calming would certainly be feasible and they will take it into consideration.
- There will be an enclosed room on the main floor of the larger commercial/residential building for garbage collection.

Ms. I. Stewart, president, Cadboro Bay Residents' Association, stated:

- They commend the efforts of the developers; they have shown a high caliber of interest in the community and it has been much appreciated.
- There was a change on the executive of the Residents' Association in May; some of the new members have not had an opportunity to meet with the developers or review the revised plans so it is difficult for them to confirm the previous executive's position on the proposed development.

Ms. K. Hurley, 3931 Lauder Road, stated:

- She does not support the proposed development; it does not reflect the intention of the Cadboro Bay Local Area Plan (LAP) and will also dissect our community.
- The proposal is short term in nature as it does not reflect the surrounding community or the long term possibility for additional multi-family housing between the subject property and Gyro Park.
- When the LAP was being reviewed by the Planning Department, she was the vice president of the Residents' Association and was very involved in the process.

- The community was reluctant to accept additional commercial and multi-family housing in the Village as there are already townhouses on either side of the Village Centre.
- Most people in the area around the Village appreciate the cottages and small shops; they were assured at the time of the review of the LAP, that if they supported new development and density around the Village, that it would be sensitive to the character of the community.
- She hopes that Council will reject the proposal and respect the intent of the LAP.
- She also has a letter from her neighbours who could not attend tonight's meeting who are opposed to the proposed development as well.

Mr. D. Moore, 3931 Lauder Road, stated:

- He was born and raised in Cadboro Bay and is an architect by profession.
- With all due respect to the architects who worked on the proposed development, he finds it to be a very inappropriate form for the subject property.
- He supports greater density in the community and this is an opportunity to develop a lively centre core with a commercial component and elevated residential units above.
- What is being proposed is a major apartment building and ancillary townhouse buildings; elevated units above a full level, at-grade parking service level completely disconnects those residents from the community and vice versa.
- There should be a dense grouping of units that have a presence of a front door and windows.
- The entrance to the apartment building is located in the centre of the building with an undercover entry which then goes into a central corridor that has no presence to the Village side.
- They are also requesting variances for every setback which should not be permitted.

Mr. R. Smith, developer for the proposed development, stated:

- Each of the elevations is involved with the community; each façade that faces a streetscape has extensive windows, doorways and balconies which will allow for people to be a part of the community.
- There are multi-level balconies that are large enough for people to entertain on; balconies on the elevation that is behind Peppers Grocery and the Pub would not be desirable because they would face the delivery truck area.
- He is a resident of Cadboro Bay and he feels that the proposed development will enhance the area and cause minimal impacts to the surrounding views.
- The three subject parcels were for sale as a package; they did not consider acquiring additional properties as they wanted to keep the development to a modest size so it would be compatible with the neighbourhood.
- The previous executive for the Residents' Association indicated support for the proposed development; they will meet with the new members and discuss the revised proposal with them.

**MOTION:                   MOVED by Mayor Leonard and Seconded by Councillor Gillespie:  
“That:**

- 1.   A Public Hearing be called; and**
- 2.   Prior to the Public Hearing an acceptable retention plan for the London Plane trees on Sinclair Road be provided.”**

Mayor Leonard stated:

- He encourages the applicants to meet with the new members on the executive of the Cadboro Bay Residents’ Association.
- He likes the west coast design of the proposed development; he is happy that the proposal was revised to eliminate the fourth floor penthouse suites.

Councillor Gillespie stated:

- He is happy that the applicants have indicated they will meet with the Association’s new executive.
- Cadboro Bay is trying to promote the community aspect of their neighbourhood; the commercial/residential component of the proposed development is a good mix.

Councillor Derman stated:

- The existing zoning on the subject property could have resulted in a much larger development; the location is a logical place for increased density.
- He is encouraged that the applicants intend to incorporate energy saving measures into the development.
- There are some design constraints with the proposed development; it is unfortunate the applicants did not consider acquiring the adjacent property on Sinclair Road as it may have helped address the narrow confines of the subject site.

Councillor Brice stated:

- It will be interesting to hear what the Association’s new executive thinks of the proposed development.
- She is happy that the applicants did not plan a larger development; they want to preserve the interesting aspects of the Village.
- The design of the proposed buildings is a different kind of look for the Village; it is important to keep people connected to the streetscape.
- She supports the application going forward to a Public Hearing.

Councillor Sanders stated:

- The townhouse component of the proposed development is a good fit although she would have preferred to see some commercial space on the lower floor as well.
- She does not like the “carport” parking aspect of the apartment building and the fact that there are no front doors of the residences facing the street.
- When she looks at the site plan, she does not see much of a lively

streetscape; the neighbours will likely end up having visitors to the development parking in front of their houses.

- She would have liked to see a development that was more exciting and had more of a community feeling to it.

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- She will support the application going forward to a Public Hearing but she has some reservations.
- The community wanted more liveliness to the streetscape; the proposed development will dwarf the adjacent house.
- She thinks the west coast design of the proposed buildings would be better suited to a place like Whistler; it does not have a quaint, cottage feel to it.
- Seniors living in Cadboro Bay want to be able to live around the Village; the proposed development will not be affordable for them.
- The Development Servicing Requirements call for a cash contribution in lieu of road improvements along the frontages of the proposed development; the Business Improvement Association and Residents' Association have been working on a scheme for the Village and it would be great to start the sidewalk connection on Penrhyn Street which is really needed for pedestrians.
- At the Public Hearing, she would like to see the landscape plan, what the proposed development will look like from Sinclair Road, and what kind of energy efficient measures will be used.

Councillor Wergeland stated:

- It would be a good idea for staff to provide a list of energy conservation measures in new developments then we would not have to ask each time a proposal comes forward.
- Residents want to have choices and different kinds of housing available to them.
- The adjacent neighbour on Penrhyn Street is concerned about what the proposed development will look like from his side.

Mayor Leonard stated:

- Perhaps staff could look at the Development Servicing Requirements and see if there is an opportunity for a sidewalk from the Park to the Village.

**The Motion was then PUT and CARRIED**

West Saanich Road  
Remedial Action  
Order

**4997 WEST SAANICH ROAD – REMEDIAL ACTION ORDER**

Report of the Manager of Inspection Services dated July 24, 2007 recommending Council pass a resolution imposing remedial action requirements pursuant to Sections 72 and 73 of the Community Charter directing the owner to remove all of the unlicensed vehicles, vehicle parts, disused items and debris stored at 4997 West Saanich Road.

Mr. R. Jay, Jr., 4997 West Saanich Road, stated:

- He has some photos that show what his property looks like; it has 365 feet of road frontage and is 1.89 acres in size.
- The photos were taken from different angles from West Saanich Road and you cannot see any of the vehicles or vehicle parts on his property; that is why the complaints about his property have taken so

long to come forward to Council.

- He also has photos of three other properties; one is on 1498 Keating Cross Road and the others are 5850 West Saanich Road and 4846 West Saanich Road which is just a short distance from his property; garbage and debris is clearly visible on those properties.

In response to questions from Council, Mr. Jay stated:

Mr. Jay stated:

- He has been racing cars ever since he was eight years old; a lot of the vehicles and parts were collected by him and his father.
- He works on the cars; it is not a business and he does not sell parts, or strip cars; they are just cars that he plays with - it is a hobby.
- If the vehicles were visible from West Saanich Road and were an eyesore, it would be no problem to clean it up.
- Some people like doing gardening or collecting spoons, or building models; he likes playing with vehicles.
- He does not disassemble vehicles; if he uses some parts from one vehicle he puts them back on another; it is not a business – it is for his own personal use.
- He does not have people coming and going all the time; once in awhile he will have a car for sale but he is not an auto wrecker or anything like that.

The Senior Bylaw Enforcement Officer stated:

- Our bylaw enforcement in the Municipality is complaint driven.
- Since approximately 1995 there have been a series of various complaints regarding the property at 4997 West Saanich Road.
- The most recent complaint was in November 2006; he has photos that were taken by the Enforcement Officer regarding the condition of the property; since then, there were additional photos taken in February 2007 and again in July 2007.
- There have been some tickets issued to Mr. Jay and to Mr. Leno for more than one unlicensed vehicle on the property and the unenclosed storage of vehicle parts.
- To date there has been very little to no change in the condition of the property since the initial inspection in 2006.
- The complaints have come from different citizens; there is one complaint from someone who is very concerned about the condition of the property, the number of unlicensed vehicles, vehicle parts, disused items, and other miscellaneous debris.

In response to questions from Council, the Municipal Solicitor stated:

- Mr. Jay has not denied that there are an alleged number of unlicensed vehicles on the property; the report indicates that there are 50 unlicensed vehicles on the property; the Bylaw does not permit any.
- Mr. Jay could put the vehicles in an enclosed building but there is only a certain percentage of the property that could be used for that purpose.

Mr. L. Leno, non-resident of 4997 West Saanich Road, stated:

- He has been using the front portion of the fenced property along

- West Saanich Road for storing vehicles, vehicle parts, and tools.
- He has cleaned up that portion of the property; now there is only one unlicensed vehicle and all of the parts have been removed with the exception of one engine which will be donated to Stelly’s School.
- He is only at the property about three times a week and it is always in the evenings; he had three unlicensed vehicles on the site and was fined \$100.
- He removed two of the vehicles and will put a license plate on the other vehicle tomorrow.

**MOTION:                   MOVED by Councillor Sanders and Seconded by Councillor Brice: “That it be recommended that Council pass the following resolution imposing remedial action requirements:**

1. **Council hereby declares the unlicensed vehicles, vehicle parts and disused items stored outside on the lands described as Lot 1, Section 104, Plan 23202, having a street address of 4997 West Saanich Road, (herein called “the lands”) to be a nuisance pursuant to Section 74(1)(d) of the *Community Charter* 2003 SBC.c.26;**
2. **The Council hereby directs Mrs. Annette Jay (herein called “the owner of the lands”) to remove all the unlicensed vehicles, vehicle parts, and disused items stored outside on the lands within sixty (60) days from the date on which notice of this order is served upon the owner of the lands; and**
3. **If the owner of the lands fails to comply with the requirements of Section 2, the Municipality may through its employees, agents, or contractors, enter upon the lands and carry out the work described in Section 1 at the expense of the owner pursuant to Section 17 of the *Community Charter*.”**

**CARRIED**

Adjournment

On a motion from Councillor, the meeting adjourned at 9:10 p.m.

.....  
CHAIR

I hereby certify these Minutes are accurate.

.....  
MUNICIPAL CLERK