

MINUTES OF THE COUNCIL MEETING
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS
SAANICH MUNICIPAL HALL, 770 VERNON AVENUE
MONDAY, DECEMBER 19, 2005 AT 7:30 P.M.

Present: **Chair:** Mayor Leonard
Council: Councillors Brice, Brownoff, Derman, Hunter, Ngai, Sanders and Wergeland
Staff: Tim Wood, Administrator; Chris Nation, Municipal Solicitor; Russ Fuoco, Director of Planning; Colin Doyle, Director of Engineering; Margaret Trottier, Deputy Municipal Clerk; and Shirley Leggett, Senior Committee Clerk.

Minutes **ADOPTION OF MINUTES**

MOVED by Councillor Brownoff and Seconded by Councillor Wergeland: "That the Minutes of the Council and Committee of the Whole Meetings held December 12, 2005, be adopted."

CARRIED

RECOMMENDATIONS

From the Healthy Saanich Advisory Committee/Centennial Matching Grants Sub-Committee Meeting held December 12, 2005.

No. 431
FIN105
2005 Centennial
Matching Grants

2006 CENTENNIAL MATCHING GRANTS

Report from Councillor Brownoff, Chair, Centennial Matching Grants Committee, dated December 14, 2005, recommending Council approve the grants outlined in the amount of \$26,660.50.

MOVED by Councillor Brownoff and Seconded by Councillor Derman: "That Council approve the following 2006 Centennial Matching Grants with the funds to be advanced to the groups as soon as possible, with a portion of the unallocated Centennial Grant Funds to be set aside for Project Recognition plaques, and the remainder re-allocated to other Centennial projects:

- | | |
|---|-------------------|
| 1. Cordova Bay Association
(Two benches with Centennial Plaques) | \$1,133.00 |
| 2. Gorge Tillicum Association
(Tree planting and bench at Gorge Park) | \$2,000.00 |
| 3. Cadboro Bay Residents' Association
(Restoration of Haro Woods Project) | \$1,000.00 |
| 4. Campus View Elementary School
(Centennial Time Capsule) | \$750.00 |
| 5. Goward House Society
(Two benches for the trail system) | \$1,200.00 |
| 6. Camosun Community Association
(Bench at top of Mt. Tolmie) | \$550.00 |
| 7. Camosun Community Association
(Centennial Pioneer Picnic at Allenby Park) | \$700.00 |
| 8. Cadboro Bay Village BIA
(Mural on wall of the Shopping Centre) | \$2,000.00 |

- 9. Prospect Lake Community Association (Community Hall improvements and historical display) \$1,000.00
- 10. Saanich Volunteer Services (Community Quilt Seniors' Project) \$1,147.50
- 11. Hampton Concert Orchestra (Celebration Concert at Spectrum Community Theatre) \$1,000.00
- 12. North Quadra Residents' Association (Painting of Hutchison home by local artist) \$2,000.00
- 13. Strawberry Vale Residents' Association (Restoration of stone bench at Stranton Lodge) \$1,500.00
- 14. Hillcrest Elementary School (Native plantings in a Secret Garden) \$350.00
- 15. Mt. Tolmie Community Association (Neighbourhood Communication Centre at Saanich Plaza) \$500.00
- 16. Prospect Lake/Beaver Lake Community Associations (Community picnic at Whitehead Park) \$2,000.00
- 17. Beaver Lake West Vale Community Association (Community mapping project) \$1,500.00
- 18. Alpine Club of Canada (Vancouver Island) (Wildflowers brochure/public hike at Mt. Douglas Park) \$2,000.00
- 19. Falaise Community Association (Falaise Park revitalization)" \$1,320.00

CARRIED

Councillor Brice declared, pursuant to Section 84 of the Council Procedure Bylaw, that she is not entitled to participate in the discussion of the Centennial Grants pertaining to the Saanich Silver Threads as she is an employee. Councillor Brice then left the meeting at 7:33 p.m.

MOVED by Councillor Brownoff and Seconded by Councillor Derman: "That Council approve the following 2006 Centennial Matching Grants:

- 1. Saanich Silver Threads (Multi-Cultural Day) \$1,010.00
- 2. Saanich Silver Threads (Partnership with youth program at Pearkes)" \$2,000.00

CARRIED

Councillor Brice returned to the meeting at 7:34 p.m.

Adjournment On a motion from Councillor Hunter, the Meeting adjourned at 7:35 p.m.
The Council Meeting reconvened at 10:05 p.m.

From the In Camera Meeting held December 19, 2005

No. 432
ADM85
Appointment of
Committee Chairs

APPOINTMENT OF 2006 COMMITTEE, BOARD AND COMMISSION CHAIRS

MOVED by Councillor Hunter and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff:
“That the 2006 Committee, Board and Commission Chair appointments as listed on Appendix “B” attached to and forming part of these minutes be approved.”

CARRIED

From the Committee of the Whole Meeting held December 19, 2005.

No. 433
ADM40
Bylaw 8693

LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FEE BYLAW, 2005, NO. 8693

First Three Readings of “Land Use and Development Application Fee Bylaw, 2005, No. 8693”. To impose fees with respect to Land Use and Development Applications.

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Hunter:
“That Bylaw No. 8693 be introduced and read.”

CARRIED

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Hunter:
“That Bylaw No. 8693 be read a second time.”

CARRIED

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Hunter:
“That Bylaw No. 8693 be now passed.”

CARRIED

No. 434
Teakwood Road
Development
Permit

1781 AND 1783 TEAKWOOD ROAD - DEVELOPMENT PERMIT - LIN WANG

MOVED by Councillor Wergeland and Seconded by Councillor Ngai:
“That Council approve and issue Development Permit No. DPR2003-00034 on Lot 71, Block 2, Section 57, Victoria District, Plan 901A (1781/1783 Teakwood Road).”

CARRIED

No. 435
Sanctuary Court
Development
Permit
Amendment

759 SANCTUARY COURT - DEVELOPMENT PERMIT AMENDMENT - HOMEWOOD CONSTRUCTORS LTD.

MOVED by Councillor Ngai and Seconded by Councillor Wergeland:
“That Council approve and issue Amended Development Permit No. DPR2002-00028 on Strata Lots 16, 17, 20 and 21, Section 49, Victoria District, Strata Plan VIS5500 (759 Sanctuary Court).”

CARRIED

No. 436
Short Street/
Saanich Rd.
Development
Permit

**890 SHORT STREET / 3497 SAANICH ROAD - DEVELOPMENT PERMIT
AMENDMENT - SHORT STREET VENTURES LTD.**

**MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff:
“That Council approve and issue Amended Development Permit No.
DPR2003-00028 on Lot 1, Section 7, Victoria District, Plan VIP77798
(890 Short Street) and Lot 2, Section 7, Victoria District, Plan VIP77798
(3497 Saanich Road).”**

CARRIED

No. 437
PLD45
Community
Heritage Register

COMMUNITY HERITAGE REGISTER

**MOVED by Councillor Brownoff and Seconded by Councillor Brice:
“That:**

- 1. 2355 Richmond Road (Jubilee Hospital Memorial Pavilion and Adanac Services Buildings) and 3910 Cedar Hill Road (Cedar Hill Junior Secondary School) be included on the Community Heritage Register;**
- 2. 4488 Gordon Point Lane be retained on the Community Heritage Register; and**
- 3. 175 Battleford Avenue, 3934 Elsey Lane, 4933 Eagle View Lane and 806 Haliburton Road, be removed from the Community Heritage Register.”**

CARRIED

No. 438
ADM85
2006 Council
Committee
Structure

2006 COUNCIL COMMITTEE STRUCTURE

Report of the Administrator dated December 12, 2005 making recommendations with respect to Committee Focus/Alignment, Structure, Resources, and Orientation/Training.

**MOVED by Councillor Wergeland and Seconded by Councillor Hunter:
“That Council approve the proposed 2006 Council Committee Structure as outlined in the December 12, 2005 report of the Administrator.”**

CARRIED

Adjournment

On a motion from Councillor Ngai, the meeting adjourned at 10:07 p.m.

.....
MAYOR

I hereby certify these Minutes are accurate.

.....
MUNICIPAL CLERK

MINUTES OF THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE MEETING
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS
SAANICH MUNICIPAL HALL, 770 VERNON AVENUE
MONDAY, DECEMBER 19, 2005 AT 7:36 P.M.

Present: **Chair:** Councillor Wergeland
Council: Mayor Leonard and Councillors Brice, Brownoff, Derman, Hunter, Ngai, and Sanders
Staff: Tim Wood, Administrator; Chris Nation, Municipal Solicitor; Russ Fuoco, Director of Planning; Colin Doyle, Director of Engineering; Margaret Trottier, Deputy Municipal Clerk; and Shirley Leggett, Senior Committee Clerk.

Teakwood Road
Development Permit **1781 AND 1783 TEAKWOOD ROAD - DEVELOPMENT PERMIT - LIN WANG**

Report of the Director of Planning dated November 9, 2005 recommending Development Permit DPR2003-00034 be approved.

Mr. L. Wang, applicant, 1783 Teakwood Road, was present in support of his application.

Mr. G. Silletta, 1775 Teakwood Road, stated:

- He is the adjacent neighbour; enclosing the carport has improved the site.

MOTION: MOVED by Councillor Ngai and Seconded by Councillor Hunter: "That it be recommended that Council approve and issue Development Permit No. DPR2003-00034 on Lot 71, Block 2, Section 57, Victoria District, Plan 901A (1781/1783 Teakwood Road)."

CARRIED

Sanctuary Court
Development Permit Amendment **759 SANCTUARY COURT - DEVELOPMENT PERMIT AMENDMENT - HOMEWOOD CONSTRUCTORS LTD.**

Report of the Director of Planning dated November 24, 2005 recommending Development Permit Amendment DPA2005-00005 be approved.

Mr. D. Bazett, Vic Davies Architect, stated:

- The subject property is steep and the design of the development follows the topography by stepping the buildings down the slope.
- Blocks 4 and 5 exceeded the maximum height.
- Both of the units back onto a park area so the heights of the buildings will not impact any adjacent neighbours.

Mr. R. Warden, president, North Quadra Land Use Protection Association, stated:

- The Community Association is not opposed to the proposed Development Permit Amendment but they would have liked to have had more consultation with the developer.

MOTION: **MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Hunter:**
“That it be recommended that Council approve and issue Amended Development Permit No. DPR2002-00028 on Strata Lots 16, 17, 20 and 21, Section 49, Victoria District, Strata Plan VIS5500 (759 Sanctuary Court).”

Councillor Derman stated:

- The site is relatively isolated and backs onto a park so there should not be a visual impact.

The Motion was then Put and CARRIED

Short Street
 Development Permit

890 SHORT STREET / 3497 SAANICH ROAD - DEVELOPMENT PERMIT AMENDMENT - SHORT STREET VENTURES LTD.

Report of the Director of Planning dated December 2, 2005 recommending Development Permit Amendment DPA2005-00033 be approved.

Mr. D. Johnson, on behalf of the applicant, Short Street Ventures Ltd., stated:

- They are requesting a variation to the Sign Bylaw in order to install a business sign for the tenant who will be leasing space in the northwest corner of the building at Short Street and Saanich Road; the sign will be more visible from Saanich Road.

MOTION: **MOVED by Councillor Ngai and Seconded by Councillor Sanders:**
“That it be recommended that Council approve and issue Amended Development Permit No. DPR2003-00028 on Lot 1, Section 7, Victoria District, Plan VIP77798 (890 Short Street) and Lot 2, Section 7, Victoria District, Plan VIP77798 (3497 Saanich Road).”

CARRIED

Viewmont Avenue
 Rezoning

4530 AND 4536 VIEWMONT AVENUE - REZONING APPLICATION - JAMES GARDINER

Report of the Director of Planning dated December 1, 2005 recommending the Royal Oak Local Area Plan and the Development Permit Areas be amended, the rezoning from RS-6 and RS-10 to RA-3 be approved, a covenant be registered to restrict occupancy, a revised landscape plan be required prior to a Public Hearing, and consideration of Development Permit DPR2004-00015 be withheld pending receipt of the landscape plan. Report of the Advisory Design Panel recommending design approval subject to consideration of the items outlined.

Mr. J. Gardiner, applicant, 4043 Braefoot Road, stated:

- The purpose of their application is to rezone two parcels and construct a 47-unit seniors’ apartment building with underground parking for residents 65 years or older.
- The landscape plans have not yet been revised to incorporate the recommended stormwater management plan but they will have them prior to Public Hearing.

In response to questions from Council, Mr. Gardiner stated:

- There will be a designated area for scooter storage with electrical plugs on each of the four floors of the proposed development.

- If the two existing dwellings cannot be moved they will deconstruct them.
- At the Public Hearing, they will provide elevations of the proposed development in relation to adjacent buildings.

Mr. D. Ensing, Landscape Architect, stated:

- They have added coniferous trees for screening as suggested by the Advisory Design Panel; an adjacent neighbour has asked for additional screening and they will do that as well.
- There will be three vegetated landscaped swales.

In response to questions from Council, Mr. Ensing stated:

- There will be lighting on some of the pathways and on the outside of the building.
- They are proposing to install five benches in various locations throughout the development.
- There will be a grass swale on the north side, a grass and vegetated swale on the west side, and a landscaped swale on the south side.

Ms. K. Whitworth, president, Royal Oak Community Association, stated:

- An Open House was held in May to present the concept plan for the proposed development; 16 immediate neighbours attended.
- The neighbours provided input on various aspects of the design and the applicants took into consideration their comments.
- The Association fully supports and welcomes this form of housing in the neighbourhood.
- One of the adjacent neighbor's boulevards on Viewmont Avenue was damaged when four new houses were built on the street in 2004 and she would like to know if the developer will restore it.

Mr. G. Gillespie, 775 Mann Avenue, stated:

- He is concerned with pedestrian safety; he welcomes seniors to the Royal Oak area but they need to have safe access to the various amenities.

Mr. K. Whitcroft, 1044 Inverness Road, stated:

- He would like to know if the developer will be using pavers for the parking areas.
- There could be an opportunity for a swale for some stormwater management for Viewmont Avenue where it fronts the proposed development.

In response to questions, Mr. Gardiner stated:

- They will be using some pavers and asphalt; it may be easier for scooters to maneuver over asphalt than pavers.
- He will take care of restoring the boulevard that was damaged on Viewmont Avenue.

MOTION:

**MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Brice:
"That a Public Hearing be called."**

Councillor Derman stated:

- He hopes that engineering staff will revisit the issue of scooter traffic and mobility.

- The proposed seniors' housing is close to many amenities and transit and the residents need to be able to safely access their various destinations.

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- She supports the application proceeding to a Public Hearing; this is an ideal location for seniors' housing as it is close to many amenities, however, she is concerned that the width of the sidewalks in the area may not be suitable for scooters to maneuver safely.
- She would like staff to look at establishing guidelines with respect to scooter parking in buildings.
- She is concerned about how the developer is going to control the age of the residents and would like more information at the Public Hearing; she would also like to know if there is some streetscape planning that will happen in the New Year; traffic is very aggressive and dangerous for pedestrians.

Councillor Sanders stated:

- She congratulates the developer and the community for working together.
- She agrees that the West Saanich Road/Viewmont Avenue intersection is dangerous.

THE MOTION WAS THEN PUT AND CARRIED

PLD45

Community Heritage Register

COMMUNITY HERITAGE REGISTER

Supplementary Report of the Director of Planning dated December 7, 2005 recommending the Community Heritage Register be amended as outlined.

MOTION:

MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: "That it be recommended that:

- 1. 2355 Richmond Road (Jubilee Hospital Memorial Pavilion and Adanac Services Buildings) and 3910 Cedar Hill Road (Cedar Hill Junior Secondary School) be included on the Community Heritage Register;**
- 2. 4488 Gordon Point Lane be retained on the Community Heritage Register; and**
- 3. 175 Battleford Avenue, 3934 Elsey Lane, 4933 Eagle View Lane and 806 Haliburton Road, be removed from the Community Heritage Register."**

CARRIED

ADM85
Proposed 2006
Council/Committee
Structure

PROPOSED 2006 COUNCIL COMMITTEE STRUCTURE

Report of the Administrator dated December 12, 2005 making recommendations with respect to Committee Focus/Alignment, Structure, Resources, and Orientation/Training.

Mr. H. Charania, 757 Genevieve Road, stated:

- He was not happy with the initial process that excluded the public from providing input and is happy that SCAN had an opportunity to meet with the Administrator and discuss the proposed Council Committee structure.
- He agrees that it is a good idea to review the committee structures but thinks it should be done with a level of transparency.
- He hopes that when the draft Terms of Reference for each of the committees are ready the Advisory Committees will have an opportunity to review them before they are finalized.

Mr. D. Wick, 1491 Edgemont Road, member of the Bicycle Advisory Committee, stated:

- He is disappointed with the process and lack of public consultation.
- The Advisory Committees do a lot of good work for the Municipality and there needs to be open communication between them and staff.
- He is happy to see that the Bicycle Advisory Committee will remain as a stand-alone committee.

Ms. J. Gaylord, 1692 Carnegie Crescent, member of the Bicycle Advisory Committee stated:

- The review of the Advisory Committees was conducted without public input and it is a good thing that SCAN got involved in the process.
- She is happy to see that there will be little change to the Committees and that the Bicycle Advisory Committee and Healthy Saanich Committee will not disappear.
- The Bicycle Advisory Committee has been the driving force for acquiring some of the funding for many of the trails in Saanich.

Mr. D. Hubbard, 1291 Pearce Crescent, stated:

- He was very disappointed to hear that Saanich was contemplating changing the Bicycle Advisory Committee to a sub-committee of the Planning and Transportation Advisory Committee; it is a very important committee not only to cyclists, but to all users of the Municipality's roads and trails.

Mr. R. Warden, president, North Quadra Land Use Protection Association, stated:

- The Association was pleased to see the revised version of the proposed Council Committee Structure.
- They agree that an advisory committee orientation binder and workshop for newly appointed committee members is a good idea.
- The Terms of Reference for each of the advisory committees needs to be clear and concise and it may be a good idea to hire someone who has expertise in writing policies using language that is easy to understand.

Mr. P. Gerrard, vice president of SCAN and member of the Planning and Transportation Advisory Committee, stated:

- The initial process to review the Council Committee Structure was flawed and did not include a public consultation process.
- He is happy that the Bicycle Advisory and Healthy Saanich Committees will remain as stand-alone committees.
- If Saanich is considering appointing two Councillors to the Greater Victoria Library Board they will not be in compliance with the governing provincial legislation and could face possible litigation.

Mr. J. Lutin, 22 Philipa Place, Victoria, stated:

- He is speaking in support of the Bicycle Advisory Committee remaining as a stand-alone committee; it is a group that has a lot of expertise, is a great model for the region, and has been instrumental in the development of many of the trails in Saanich.

Mr. D. Rebneris, 520 Beaver Lake Road, stated:

- He would like to know what happened to the Rural Saanich Local Area Advisory Steering Committee; for him this is the most important committee because it localizes decisions and gives him and his neighbours the capacity to resolve some of their local problems.

Mr. R. Stonebanks, president, Cordova Bay Association, stated:

- The Association supports the proposed Council Committee Structure but would like to know the rationale for the proposed number of committee members.
- If the committee structure is reviewed again in the future, he hopes there will be public consultation early on in the process.

Mr. I. Graeme, 2615 Dean Avenue, stated:

- He supports the proposed revisions to the committee structure but is disappointed in the process and lack of public consultation.
- He understands that it is important to focus on the priorities in the Strategic Plan; perhaps it would be a good idea to strike a task force that could look at staff time and cost, and why there is low participation on some of the advisory committees.

Mr. K. Whitcroft, president, Quadra Cedar Hill Community Association, stated:

- He supports a process that involves public input and agrees that the advisory committees should have an opportunity to create Terms of Reference that will align with the Strategic Plan.
- He thinks that ecological functions of watersheds should be part of the Environmental Advisory Committee's mandate.

Mr. R. Trotter, 3823 Merriman Drive, stated:

- He agrees that the process to review the committee structure was flawed and that there should have been public consultation right from the start; he is happy that the Administrator met with SCAN and listened to their input.

Ms. I. Block, president, Strawberry Vale Community Association, stated:

- She does not understand how community engagement can be

increased if there is no public consultation process or if the number of committees and members is decreased.

- She thinks there should be a limit on the number of committees that people can sit on and agrees that providing committee members with an orientation handbook is a good idea; perhaps the handbook should include a code of ethics as well.

Ms. F. LaBella, president, Saanich Community Association Network (SCAN), stated:

- She thanks the Administrator for meeting with SCAN and listening to their input; it would have been a good idea to include them earlier on in the process.
- There needs to be clear, concise dialogue between Council and the public.

Ms. S. MacPherson, president, Prospect Lake Community Association, stated:

- The Association was very disappointed in the process and lack of public consultation.
- A survey was distributed to committee members but the community was not asked to provide input; a survey should have been sent out to the community asking them to assess how effective the various advisory committees are.

Ms. C. Pickup, 977 Lovat Avenue, stated:

- The process used is just as important as the outcome of the process; in this case the process was flawed and undemocratic.
- Some committees may operate more effectively with a greater number of members; deciding on a 7 to 9 member committee size for all committees may not work; she thinks there should be 12 to 15 members on the Arts, Culture and Heritage Committee.
- She agrees that committee participation should include youth as they can bring a different perspective to a committee; an orientation handbook and workshop is also a good idea and agrees that an annual appreciation event should be held.

Mr. G. Gillespie, 775 Mann Avenue, stated:

- He was very unhappy with the process and lack of community consultation.
- He agrees that committee size should not be too restricted; youth and ethnic representation on advisory committees is a positive step forward and an annual appreciation event is a good opportunity for networking.

Ms. K. Bridge, member of the Saanich Heritage and Archival Advisory Committee (SHAAC), stated:

- She was not told in advance that the Saanich Heritage and Archival Committee was going to be disbanded; she received a phone call from the Mayor's Office asking what aspect of the Arts, Cultural and Heritage Committee she would be interested in.
- She is very interested in being an advocate for Saanich and its archives because that is what her profession is and that is why she volunteered to sit on SHAAC.

- She is very saddened by the process but she is still interested in serving on a committee that advocates for Saanich and its archives and heritage.

MOTION: MOVED by Mayor Leonard and Seconded by Councillor Hunter: "That it be recommended that Council approve the proposed 2006 Council Committee Structure as outlined in the December 12, 2005 report of the Administrator."

Mayor Leonard stated:

- He appreciates all of the input from the audience.

Councillor Derman stated:

- He thanks everyone for attending the meeting and providing their comments.
- The primary purpose of advisory committees is to engage public input and participation.
- The Strategic Plan is a good initiative that has brought some structure to the Municipality, however, it should set out broad objectives and policies; it should not be all inclusive and should have some flexibility.
- Advisory Committees bring expertise and knowledge to the Municipality and provide sound advice.

Councillor Hunter stated:

- He thanks everyone for their comments.
- He agrees that advisory committees should be aligned with the Strategic Plan; it will provide direction and focus for the committees.
- He is very comfortable and supportive of the Administrator's report and its recommendations.

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- It is important to engage the community associations, advisory committees and the community in the process and discussions of the Committee structure.
- If there had been meaningful public input from the start, it could have resulted in better decisions; she supports reviewing the committee structure but agrees the various committee members should have been involved.

Councillor Sanders stated:

- She agrees that public input is a very important part of the process.
- She also agrees that there should be some flexibility of the number of committee members; it would be a good idea if the minutes of all of the advisory committee meetings were posted on the Saanich website.

Councillor Derman stated:

- He supports the proposed Council Committee Structure but the issue of heritage and how it will fit in has not been sorted out.
- There has been a suggestion that some of the previous functions of SHAAC may be shifted over to the Saanich Heritage Foundation, but it is an independent Society and it may or may not adopt those functions.

MOTION: MOVED by Councillor Derman and Seconded by Councillor Brownoff: "That the motion be amended to postpone consideration of the structure of the Arts, Culture and Heritage Committee and the Saanich Heritage Foundation until there has been some consultation with members of the Heritage Foundation and Municipal Solicitor regarding the heritage designation process."

Councillor Sanders stated:

- She has served on both of the Committees and she feels comfortable with the heritage aspect going to the Arts, Culture and Heritage Committee.
- SHAAC only met three times in 2005 so the Heritage Foundation should be able to manage that additional role although she does not know if the issue of heritage designations will fit under the Foundation's Society Act.
- The number of members on the Committee may have to be increased but it could be reviewed again in six months.

Councillor Brownoff stated:

- One of the roles of SHAAC was to make recommendations for heritage designation; she agrees that we should check with the Municipal Solicitor to see if the Heritage Foundation can take on that role under the Society Act.

Mayor Leonard stated:

- The Administrator's report states that Terms of Reference for the committees will be drafted over the next few months; he does not think it is a good idea to put the Arts, Culture and Heritage Committee on hold while the Terms of Reference for other committees are being considered.

The Motion was then PUT and DEFEATED, with Mayor Leonard and Councillors Brice, Hunter, Ngai, Sanders and Wergeland voting against.

THE Main Motion was then PUT and CARRIED

LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION FEE BYLAW

Report of the Director of Planning dated December 12, 2005 recommending the Land Use and Development Application Fee Bylaw be amended as outlined.

ADM40
Land Use
Development
Application Fee
Bylaw

MOTION: **MOVED** by Mayor Hunter and **Seconded** by Councillor Hunter: “That Land Use and Development Fee Bylaw 8693 be amended by adding the following clause:

“2. (d)(iii) Notwithstanding (d)(i) above, where a development permit or development permit amendment for lands located in a (P) zone and designated as a Development Permit Area under Local Government’s Act s.919 (i)(a) for protection of the natural environment, its ecosystem and biological diversity, a maximum fee of \$500 shall apply.””

CARRIED

In Camera Motion **MOVED** by Councillor Brownoff and **Seconded** by Councillor Hunter: “That the following Council meeting be closed to the public as the subject matter being considered relates to personal information about identifiable individuals being considered for appointment.”

CARRIED

Adjournment On a motion from Councillor Hunter, the meeting adjourned at 9:57 p.m.

.....
CHAIR

I hereby certify these Minutes are accurate.

.....
MUNICIPAL CLERK